Prepared for submission to JHEP

Global gravitational anomalies and transport

Subham Dutta Chowdhury, Justin R. David

Centre for High Energy Physics, Indian Institute of Science,
C. V. Raman Avenue, Bangalore 560012, India.

E-mail: subham, justin@cts.iisc.ernet.in

Abstract: We investigate the constraints imposed by global gravitational anomalies on parity odd induced transport coefficients in even dimensions for theories with chiral fermions, gravitinos and self dual tensors. The η-invariant for the large diffeomorphism corresponding to the T transformation on a torus constraints the coefficients in the thermal effective action up to mod 2. We show that the result obtained for the parity odd transport for gravitinos using global anomaly matching is consistent with the direct perturbative calculation. In $d = 6$ we see that the second Pontryagin class in the anomaly polynomial does not contribute to the η-invariant which provides a topological explanation of this observation in the 'replacement rule'. We then perform a direct perturbative calculation for the contribution of the self dual tensor in $d = 6$ to the parity odd transport coefficient using the Feynman rules proposed by Gaumé and Witten. The result for the transport coefficient agrees with that obtained using matching of global anomalies.

arXiv:1604.05003v2 [hep-th] 23 May 2016

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Global anomalies and thermal effective action **4**

3 Global anomalies and transport in $d = 2$ **7**
- 3.1 Fermions 9
- 3.2 Chiral bosons 11
- 3.3 Gravitinos 12

4 Global anomalies and transport in $d = 6$ **13**
- 4.1 Fermions 18
- 4.2 Gravitinos 20
- 4.3 Self-dual tensors 21

5 Transport for self dual tensors in $d = 6$ at one loop **22**

6 Conclusions **24**

A Curvature integrals and Chern-Simons terms **26**

B Correlators of self dual tensors in $d = 6$ **30**

C Summary of η invariants in various dimensions **52**

1 Introduction

Several recent works have investigated the relationship between parity odd transport coefficients and anomalies in even dimensions [1–11]. Among these relations, the ones relating the mixed gravitational anomalies or the pure gravitational anomalies to the appropriate parity odd transport coefficients are the harder to establish. This is because these anomalies influence transport coefficients which occur at lower order in the derivative expansion when compared to the order they occur in the anomalous conservation law. There are three methods used to establish the relationship between the gravitational anomalies and the corresponding transport coefficients

1. Direct perturbative evaluation of the Kubo formula of the transport coefficients using finite temperature field theory methods [12–16].

2. Using the method of consistency of the Euclidean vacuum [17, 18].

3. Evaluating the one loop thermal partition functions of the theory on a spatial slice to obtain an effective Chern-Simons terms which are in turn related to the transport coefficients [15, 19].

Recently a new method has been proposed by [20] which relies on matching anomalies of large diffeomorphisms or large gauge transformations to fix the Chern-Simons terms in the thermal effective action. One of the goals of this paper is study this approach in more detail, extend the method to $d = 6$ and study the situations in which there are gravitinos and self dual tensors in the theory. A second aim of the paper is to evaluate the contribution of self dual tensors to parity odd transport coefficient in $d = 6$ perturbatively using the Feynman rules for these fields put forward by [21]. This calculation will also check the consistency of the result obtained using the matching global anomalies.

Let us briefly summarize the method of global anomaly matching to determine the thermal effective action. Consider a $2n$ dimensional manifold torus T^{2n}. We will identify one of the directions of the torus to play the role of Euclidean time. Let the metric on the torus be $g_{\mu\nu}$ on which there exists a global diffeomorphism

$$g_{\mu\nu} \to g^T_{\mu\nu}. \tag{1.1}$$

If there exists a global anomaly, the partition function of the theory changes by

$$Z[g_{\mu\nu}] \to Z[g^T_{\mu\nu}] = e^{-i\pi\eta} Z[g_{\mu\nu})], \tag{1.2}$$

where η is the η-invariant [1] corresponding to the global diffeomorphism. We will consider the T-symmetry of the torus. After evaluating the η-invariant, one then writes down a thermal effective action involving the components of the metric which transforms identical to (1.2). The thermal effective action is in general a Chern-Simons type action considered in all the remaining $2n-1$ directions excluding time. It is clear from (1.2) that coefficients in any action determined this way will be ambiguous up to mod 2. Once the effective action is obtained, we can take the decompactification limit in the $2n-1$ directions and use it to obtain response functions corresponding to the transport coefficients.

The contributions of chiral gravitinos to parity odd transport coefficients in the theory are particularly tricky to determine. The direct perturbative evaluation of the transport coefficient does not agree with that obtained using the method of consistency of the Euclidean vacuum [16]. The method of consistency of the Euclidean vacuum [17, 18] predicts that the transport coefficients of gravitinos is directly related to the gravitational anomaly by a multiplicative constant. For example consider the

[1] The details of how the topological η-invariant is defined and evaluated will be discussed subsequently.

case of $d = 2$, and let $\lambda^{(2)} = \tilde{c}_{2d} T^2$ be the parity odd coefficient due to the presence of chiral gravitinos, then the method of consistency of the Euclidean vacuum predicts the relation

$$\tilde{c}_{2d} = -8\pi^2 c_g, \tag{1.3}$$

where c_g is the gravitational anomaly due to chiral gravitinos. However, direct perturbative calculations [16] reveal that the the contribution of chiral gravitinos in d dimensions to transport is equal to that of $d-1$ chiral fermions. In this paper we use the method matching global anomalies to determine the contribution of chiral gravitinos to transport. We see that result from this method is consistent with the perturbative calculation up to mod 2. This phenomenon is consistently seen in $d = 2, 6$.

One of the observations of works of [22–24], is that second or higher Pontryagin classes in the anomaly polynomial of a chiral field does not contribute to the transport coefficient. This was summarized succinctly in the 'replacement' rule. Lets recall the rule for the transport coefficient which is sensitive to pure gravitation anomalies in $d = 6$. Consider the anomaly polynomial for pure gravitational anomalies in $d = 6$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{d=6} &= c_\gamma (\mathrm{Tr}(\hat{R})^2)^2 + c_\delta (\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}(\hat{R}^4) - \frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}(\hat{R}^2)^2), \\ \hat{R}_{ab} &= \frac{1}{2} R_{abcd} dx^c \wedge dx^d. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

Note c_γ is the coefficient which occurs with the square of the first Pontryagin class while the c_δ occurs with the second Pontryagin class. Now let the parity odd transport coefficient determined by the three point function of the stress tensor be parametrised as

$$\lambda_3^{(6)} = 9\tilde{c}_g^{6d} T^4. \tag{1.5}$$

Then 'replacement rule' predicts the relation

$$\tilde{c}_g^{6d} = -(8\pi^2)^2 c_\gamma. \tag{1.6}$$

Note that the second Pontryagin class does not contribute to the transport coefficient according to this rule. Using the method of global anomalies to determine the thermal effective action and $\lambda_3^{(6)}$, we see the η-invariant corresponding to the T-symmetry of the torus for Weyl fermions, gravitinos, and self dual-tensors do not receive any topological contribution form the second Pontryagin class for theories in $d = 6$ and therefore they do not contribute to transport. Thus the method of global anomaly matching provides a topological explanation for this observation in the replacement rule. We show that the prediction (1.6) is consistent with global anomaly matching for Weyl fermions, gravitinos and self-dual tensors. We will also explicitly verify the prediction in (1.6) by performing a direct perturbative evaluation of the relevant Kubo formula using Feynman rules for the self dual tensor given in [21]. We show

that indeed the contribution of the self dual tensor indeed agrees with that predicted by replacement rule.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we briefly review the method put forward by [20] to set our notations. In section 3 we study the $d = 2$ case in detail for all the chiral fields. Since the partition function and modular properties under the T symmetry of free chiral fields in $d = 2$ are known exactly we also compare the calculation of the η-invariant to these results. We pay particular attention to the spin structure which is picked up by the η-invariant. We extend the analysis to $d = 6$ in Section 4. We then proceed to evaluate the transport coefficient $\lambda_3^{(6)}$ for self dual tensors using the propagator of [21] in section 5. Section 6 contains our conclusions. Appendix A contains the details involved in evaluating the η-invariants and appendix B contains the details of Wick contractions manipulations and simplification of the correlators in the evaluation of the the Kubo formula for $\lambda^{(6)}$ for the self dual tensor in $d = 6$. Finally appendix C summarises the η invariants corresponding to the T^2 transformation for chiral matter in $d = 2, 6, 10$.

2 Global anomalies and thermal effective action

In this section we will review the method introduced by [20] to constrain thermal effective actions using global anomalies. This section will provide the outline of the logic of the method using chiral fermions in $d = 2$ as an example. This method will be implemented in detail in section 3. We will also generalize this method to theories which contain self dual and gravitinos tensors in $d = 2, d = 6$

Consider a theory of complex Weyl fermions in $d = 2$ on a torus $\hat{T}^2$. Let the co-ordinates on the torus be given by (t, x), with the identifications

$$(t, x) \sim (t + 2\pi n, x + 2\pi m). \tag{2.1}$$

Let the metric on the torus be given by

$$g : \qquad ds^2 = (dt + a(x)dx)^2 + dx^2. \tag{2.2}$$

Lets now consider the large diffeomorphism of the torus generated by the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} t \\ x \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ x \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.3}$$

This diffeomorphism is the T^2-transformation of the torus. From (2.3) we see that the transformed metric is given by

$$g^{T^2} : \qquad ds^2 = (dt + (a + 2)dx)^2 + dx^2. \tag{2.4}$$

Thus under T^2 transformation of the torus we have $a(x) \to a(x) + 2$. This large diffeomorphism of the torus will be the focus of our attention.

Consider the partition function of the theory obtained by integrating out the fermions defined as

$$Z[g] = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \exp(-S(\psi, \bar{\psi}, g)). \tag{2.5}$$

If the theory has a global anomaly, the partition function picks up a phase under the T^2 transformation [25] which is given by

$$Z[g^{T^2}] = \exp(-i\pi\eta_{1/2}) Z[g]. \tag{2.6}$$

The $\eta_{1/2}$ invariant is defined as following. Consider the 3-dimensional manifold Σ which maps the metric g to g^{T^2} through a coordinate y. This 3-dimensional manifold is called the mapping torus. The metric is given by

$$ds^2_\Sigma = dy^2 + [dt + (a + 2y)dx]^2 + dx^2. \tag{2.7}$$

This metric interpolates between the metric g and g^{T^2} as y is dialed from 0 to 1. Further more from the metric it is clear that we have the identifications

$$(t, x, y) \sim (t - 2x, x, y + 1). \tag{2.8}$$

Thus the torus at $y = 0$ is identified with its image at g^{T^2} . We will choose anti-periodic boundary conditions for the fermions along the time circle t which will eventually be the thermal circle. Then $\eta_{1/2}$ is obtained by solving the Dirac equation in Σ the 3 dimensional manifold with this boundary condition that $y = 0$ and $y = 1$ are glued together. Let λ denote the eigen value of the Dirac operator

$$\not{D}_{1/2}\psi = \lambda_{1/2}\psi. \tag{2.9}$$

Note that ψ is a Dirac fermion in Σ. Then the $\eta_{1/2}$ invariant is defined by

$$\eta_{1/2} = \sum_{\lambda_{1/2}} \text{sign}(\lambda). \tag{2.10}$$

The subscript 1/2 in these definitions refer to the fact that we are dealing with the spin-1/2 fermions.

Evaluating the coefficient $\eta_{1/2}$ by solving the Dirac equation on Σ is not easy because of the boundary conditions. For the situation in which Σ arises as a boundary of a manifold B we can appeal to the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem to obtain $\eta_{1/2}$. Let B be a 4-dimensional manifold such that $\partial B = \Sigma$. The metric on this manifold is given by

$$ds^2_B = dr^2 + dy^2 + f(r)^2 [dt + (a + 2y)dx]^2 + dx^2. \tag{2.11}$$

Here r takes values from 0 to 1. $f(r)$ is a filling function which has the property

$$\lim_{r\to 0} f(r) = r. \tag{2.12}$$

Note the above limiting behaviour of $f(r)$ together with the fact that that t is periodic with period 2π ensures that this metric does not have a conical singularity at $r = 0$. At the boundary $r = 1$, the metric reduces to that of Σ. This metric is essentially that of the solid mapping torus obtained by filling up the t circle. The APS theorem then states that the index of the Dirac operator on B is related to the geometric properties of B by

$$\text{index}(\not{D}_{1/2})(B) = \frac{1}{24\times 8\pi^2}\int_B \text{Tr}(R\wedge R) + \mathcal{I}_{\partial B=\Sigma} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{1/2}. \tag{2.13}$$

Here R is the curvature 2-form on B and $\mathcal{I}_\Sigma$ is an integral over the boundary of B which will be explained in detail in the subsequent section. At present it is sufficient to mention that $\mathcal{I}_\Sigma$ are corrections to the APS index theorem for manifolds with boundaries. Essentially the APS theorem offers a geometric means to evaluate $\eta_{1/2}$.

Now that one has $\eta_{1/2}$ we can go back and write down an effective action which reproduces the change in (2.6). Let

$$Z[g] = e^{-S_{\text{eff}}}. \tag{2.14}$$

The metric in (2.2) has an isometry under $t \to t+\epsilon$. Assuming a gap in the spectrum due to the thermal boundary conditions in the t-direction we expect the the low lying effective action to be independent of t and will be a functional of only a. An effective action which reproduces the change in (2.6) is given by

$$S\text{eff} = \frac{i\eta_{1/2}}{4}\int a(x)dx. \tag{2.15}$$

Note that under the T^2 transformation $a(x) \to a(x) + 2$. This will ensure that we obtain the change given in (2.6) for the partition function. Recall that the integral over x runs from 0 to 2π. It is clear that this method determines the coefficient in the effective action upto an integer. That is if $\eta_{1/2}$ is shifted by an even integer we would still be able to satisfy (2.6).

We will implement this method systematically for chiral fermions, gravitinos and self dual tensors in $d = 2, 6$. Our goal is to use the effective action to evaluate the parity odd transport and compare them with perturbative calculations done in [16]. To do this we need to introduce further scalings in the metric given in (2.2) so that periodicities in t become β the inverse temperature. We must also verify that it is consistent for fermions to satisfy thermal boundary conditions in the geometry we are evaluating the η-invariant. The periodicity in x should also be scaled to L. We will then have to take the $L \to \infty$ limit. Finally the result for the transport correlator should be analytically continued to Minkowski signature. We will implement all these steps in detail in the subsequent sections.

3 Global anomalies and transport in $d = 2$

Before we proceed to use the APS theorem to evaluate the η invariant for the geometry Σ given in (2.7) we first illustrate the simple fact that the partition function of a theory defined on a 2-torus which contains Weyl fermions picks up phase under the T symmetry of the torus. Consider free Weyl fermions on a torus with modular parameter $\tau = i\beta/L$. The modular parameter is the ratio of the lengths along the time and the spatial direction of the torus. Let the fermions obey the following boundary conditions on this torus

$$\begin{aligned} (A, A) : \psi(z+1) = -\psi(z), \qquad \psi(z+\tau) = -\psi(z), \\ (P, A) : \psi(z+1) = \psi(z), \qquad \psi(z+\tau) = -\psi(z). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Both these boundary conditions result in thermal partition functions. For free Weyl fermions, these are easily evaluated and we obtain

$$Z_{AA}(\tau) = \frac{\theta_3(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}, \qquad Z_{PA}(\tau) = \frac{\theta_2(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}. \tag{3.2}$$

The properties of these partition function under T and S are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T^2 : Z_{AA}(\tau+2) = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}} Z_{AA}(\tau+2), \qquad S : Z_{AA}(-\frac{1}{\tau}) = Z_{AA}(\tau), \\ T : Z_{PA}(\tau+1) = e^{+i\frac{\pi}{3}} Z_{PA}(\tau), \qquad S : Z_{PA}(-\frac{1}{\tau}) = Z_{AP}(\tau) = \frac{\theta_4(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Note that it is only the partition function Z_{AA} which is modular invariant. This partition function has anti-periodic boundary conditions on both the circles. It returns to itself after a $T^2 : \tau \to \tau + 2$ with a phase $e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}}$. We will see that the method of evaluating the phase picked up by the T diffeomorphism of the torus using the η invariant chooses this boundary conditions. We then will decompactify the theory along the spatial x direction and write down an effective action which ensures that the partition function picks up that required phase.

Let us now determine the change in the partition function by computing the η invariant of the T^2 transformation. Let the change in the partition function for a theory containing Weyl fermions on the 2-torus under the T^2 transformation be given by

$$Z[g^{T^2}] = e^{-i\pi\eta_{1/2}} Z[g]. \tag{3.4}$$

The APS index theorem relates the η invariant to the following geometric quantity on the manifold B.

$$\mathrm{Ind}(\not{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{1}{24 \times 8\pi^2} \int_B \mathrm{Tr}(R \wedge R) - \frac{1}{24 \times 8\pi^2} \int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}(\theta \wedge R) - \frac{\eta_{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}. \tag{3.5}$$

We have used the expression for the index theorem in [26] which results in opposite sign for η compared to that in [25], however this is taken care of by introducing the negative sign for the phase shift in (3.4) . Let us recall the metric on B is given by

$$ds_B^2 = dr^2 + dy^2 + f(r)^2(dt + [a(x) + 2y]\, dx)^2 + dx^2. \tag{3.6}$$

In (3.5), $\theta^a_{\mu b}$ is the second fundamental form defined as the difference of spin connection $\omega^a_{\mu b}$ derived from the metric (3.6) and the spin connection derived from the product metric at the boundary which is given by

$$ds_P^2 = dr^2 + dy^2 + f(1)^2(dt + [a(x) + 2y]\, dx)^2 + dx^2. \tag{3.7}$$

Then

$$\theta^a_{\mu b} = \omega^a_{\mu b}(B) - \omega^a_{\mu b}(B). \tag{3.8}$$

Note that if the manifold B does not have any boundary (3.5) reduces to the usual index theorem one is familiar with.

Before we proceed to evaluate the integrals in the RHS of (3.5) we will discuss the boundary conditions on the fermions. To begin, the boundary conditions in the t direction are anti-periodic due to the topology of B. Note that the (r, t) plane has the topology of a disc, since the t-circle is filled. Therefore, the fermions obey anti-periodic boundary conditions along the t-circle. This is because in the (r, t) plane $t \to t+2\pi$ is just a rotation. This is identical to the argument by which the fermions in AdS_3 obey anti-periodic boundary conditions along the angular directions. Constant time slices in AdS_3 have the topology of a disc [2]. The Dirac fermion in Σ is periodic in y under $y \to y + 1$ as the metric g is identified with g^{T^2} under this shift. y parametrises the direction along with the torus is mapped on to itself. This implies that we must have anti-periodic boundary conditions in x because if there are 2 or more directions in which fermions have periodic boundary conditions, the partition function vanishes due to the presence of of fermionic zero modes. Thus the partition function is evaluated with (A, A) boundary conditions in the (x, t) directions.

We now evaluate the integrals on the LHS of (3.5). Evaluating the curvature components of the metric we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_B \mathrm{Tr}(R \wedge R) &= -8 \int dydrdxdt f'(r) \left(f''(r) + f(r)^3\right), \\ &= 2(2\pi)^2 \left[2(f'(0))^2 - 2(f'(1))^2 + (f(0))^4 - f(1)^4\right].\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

In evaluating this trace we choose the orientation of the coordinates such that the epsilon tensor is given by $\epsilon^{txyr} = 1$. Using (2.12) we have $f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1$. Substituting these values we obtain

$$\frac{1}{24 \times 8\pi^2} \int_B \mathrm{Tr}(R \wedge R) = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{(f'(1))^2}{12} - \frac{f(1)^4}{24}. \tag{3.10}$$

[2] See [27] below equation (2.1)

Let us evaluate the boundary term

$$\frac{1}{24\times 8\pi^2}\int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}(\theta\wedge R) = -\frac{(f'(1))^2}{12}. \tag{3.11}$$

Thus putting together the integrals on the LHS of the index theorem (3.5) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{24\times 8\pi^2}\left(\int_B \mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R) - \int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}(\theta\wedge R)\right) = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{f(1)^4}{24}. \tag{3.12}$$

Substituting in (3.5) we obtain

$$\eta_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{f^4(1)}{12} + 2\mathrm{Ind}(\not{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{3.13}$$

However this equation indicates that $\eta_{1/2}$ depends on the filling function f which clearly is not true since η is a topological invariant. The reason is because the theory of Weyl fermions contains a perturbative anomaly which results in the following gravitational Chern-Simons term on Σ

$$\frac{1}{12\times 8\pi^2}\int_\Sigma \omega\wedge d\omega + \frac{2}{3}\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega = -\frac{f^4(1)}{12}. \tag{3.14}$$

Here ω is the spin connection in the bulk B but evaluated at the boundary. The original applications of the η-invariant by [25] involved theories which were free of perturbative anomalies, the anomalies were canceled by the Green-Schwarz mechanism. Here we isolate the topological invariant $\eta_{1/2}$ by subtracting the contribution of the gravitational Chern-Simons term [20]. The manifold B has the topology of a solid torus, the index the Dirac operator in (3.13) is an integer. The reason is that the the η-invariant is defined to take into account all the terms mod 2 when the manifold B has a boundary. Therefore this term contributes to a trivial phase shift of the partition function under the T diffeomorphism. Taking all this into account we obtain

$$\eta_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{6}. \tag{3.15}$$

Thus the phase picked up by the T^2 transformation is given by

$$Z[g^{T^2}] = e^{-i\pi\eta_{1/2}}Z[g] = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}}Z[g]. \tag{3.16}$$

This is precisely the phase picked up the T^2 transformation for fermions with the (A,A) boundary conditions which we evaluated by the direct calculation in (3.3).

3.1 Fermions

To obtain the thermal effective action we first need to decompactify the spatial direction. Note that so far we have worked in dimensionless units for the metric say

in (2.2). We first introduce dimensions by rescaling the co-ordinates and the metric as

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{Lx}{2\pi}, \qquad \tilde{t} = \frac{\beta t}{2\pi}, \qquad \tilde{ds}^2 = \frac{\beta^2}{(2\pi)^2} ds^2. \tag{3.17}$$

Then the metric in (2.2) becomes

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = (d\tilde{t} + \tilde{a}(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x})^2 + d\tilde{x}^2, \tag{3.18}$$

where $\tilde{a}(\tilde{x})$ is defined as

$$\tilde{a}(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\beta}{L} a(x). \tag{3.19}$$

Note now the periodicities $\tilde{x}$ are β and L respectively. Now under the T^2 transformation we have

$$T : (\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) \to (\tilde{t} + \frac{2\beta\tilde{x}}{L}, \tilde{x}), \qquad \tilde{a} \to \tilde{a} + \frac{2\beta}{L}. \tag{3.20}$$

We now decompactify the $\tilde{x}$ direction by taking L to be large. The modes in the x direction then become a continuum, but we expect the effective action to still retain the shift by the phase $e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}}$ under the T^2 diffeomorphisms. The metric in (2.2) is flat, there is no background curvature, therefore the effective action can only depend on $\tilde{a}$. An action which satisfies the required condition of the phase shift is given by

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \frac{i\pi}{12\beta} \int \tilde{a}(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} \qquad Z[g] = e^{-S_{\text{eff}}}. \tag{3.21}$$

Writing this partition function in momentum space we obtain

$$Z = \exp(-\frac{i\pi}{12} \hat{a}(0)). \tag{3.22}$$

Here $\hat{a}(0)$ is the Fourier transform at $k = 0$ [3]. We can now obtain the one point function of the stress tensor $\langle T^{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{x}} \rangle$. Note that since $\hat{a}$ is the $\tilde{g}_{\tilde{t}\tilde{x}}$ component, the one point function of the stress tensor by [4]

$$\langle T^{\tilde{t}\tilde{x}}(p) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\delta \ln Z}{\delta g^{\tilde{t}\tilde{x}}} = \frac{\delta \ln Z}{\delta \hat{a}(p)}. \tag{3.23}$$

Evaluating this for the partition function given in (3.22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T^{\tilde{t}\tilde{x}}(p) \rangle &= -\frac{i\pi}{\beta 12} 2\pi\delta(p), \\ &= -\frac{i\pi}{\beta^2 12} 2\pi\beta\delta(p). \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

[3] The Fourier transform is defined by $\tilde{a}(x) = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \hat{a}(k) e^{-ikx}$.

[4] This definition of stress tensor is in accordance with [6] for the mostly positive signature.

Note that due the definition of the Fourier transform functional differentiation in Fourier space picks up a factor $2\pi\delta(p)$. We can now go over to Minkowski space by analytical continuation of $t' = -i\tilde{t}$. This results in

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T^{t'\tilde{x}'}(p)\rangle &= \frac{\langle T^{\tilde{t}\tilde{x}}(p)\rangle}{i}, \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{\beta^2 12} 2\pi\beta\delta(p).\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

The transport coefficient $\lambda^{(2)}$ which occurs in the constitutive relation for the stress tensor is obtained by evaluating the one point function $-\langle T^{t'\tilde{x}'}(p)\rangle$ and then stripping out the $2\pi\beta\delta(0)$ which occurs in the overall momentum conservation of the correlators in the Kubo formula, see [16] for a discussion. We therefore get

$$\lambda^{(2)} = \frac{\pi}{12\beta^2}. \tag{3.26}$$

This coincides with the expression obtained using perturbative calculations in [16] as well as the result using the replacement rule [17].

3.2 Chiral bosons

The chiral boson or the self dual tensor in $d = 2$ is dual to the Weyl fermion by bosonization. Therefore we expect the same result for the transport coefficient. Lets verify this by evaluating the η_S for the self dual tensor in $d = 2$. The η invariant for the self dual tensor can be determined using the APS index formula for self dual tensors. To be general and also relate it to the expressions in [25] we quote the result for arbitrary dimensions.

$$\frac{\sigma(B)}{8} = \frac{1}{8}L(R) - \mathcal{I}_\Sigma(R) + \frac{\eta_S}{2}. \tag{3.27}$$

Here L is the Hirzebruch polynomial constructed out of the curvature tensor, σ the Hirzebruch signature of B. $\mathcal{I}$ is a boundary term which will be defined later. Note that our definition of η_S is $1/4$ the definition used in [25]. The change in the partition function is given by $Z[g^T] = e^{-i\pi\eta_S} Z[g]$. Recently the expression in (3.27) has been refined by [28, 29]. The term $\frac{\sigma(B)}{8}$ on the LHS of the equation in (3.27) is replaced by a $\lambda \wedge \lambda$ where λ is a $2k+2$ form for a self dual field in $4k+2$ dimensions [5]. Below we will carry our arguments for the version of the index theorem for self dual tensors in [25] as well as provide the arguments for the refined formula for the η invariant

[5] We thank Samuel Monnier for bringing the references [28, 29] to our attention and for explaining the refined formula for the η invariant to us. See section 4.3 of [28] for the index theorem without gauge fields and [29] for the index theorem with gauge fields.

given in [28]. Let us now substitute the appropriate polynomials for B of dimension 4 in the APS index theorem. We obtain

$$\frac{\sigma(B)}{8} = -\frac{1}{24\times 8\pi^2}\int_B \mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R) + \frac{1}{24\times 8\pi^2}\int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}(\theta\wedge R) + \frac{\eta_S}{2}. \tag{3.28}$$

The evaluation of the integrals proceeds exactly as in the case of the fermions. We obtain the relation

$$\eta_S = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{f^4(1)}{12} + \frac{\sigma(B)}{4}. \tag{3.29}$$

Note that again there is a contribution to η_S which is removed by subtracting the gravitational Chern-Simons term in (3.14). We now use the fact that for a solid torus B the Hirzebruch signature is a multiple of 8, again this is because the η invariant is defined to take in account of all terms mod 2. For the refined global anomaly formula [28], it is in fact not necessary to make assumptions regarding the Hirzebruch signature of B. The term $\sigma(B)/8$ is replaced by $\lambda\wedge\lambda$ where λ is a 2-form. Since in $d=2$ the manifold is a spin manifold we can take the manifold B to have a spin structure compatible with T^2. In this situation one can show that λ can be chosen to vanish [6]. Therefore to mod 2 we write

$$\eta_S = \frac{1}{6}. \tag{3.30}$$

This result is identical to that obtained in (3.15) for Weyl fermions. Therefore the result for the transport coefficient $\lambda^{(2)}$ in theories with a single self dual tensor is identical to that of a single Weyl fermion.

3.3 Gravitinos

Though there are no physical gravitinos in $d=2$, we can study the 'gravitino like' theory. The gravitino action consists essentially of the spin 3/2 operator acting on the gravitino, the ghosts in the gauge fixing procedure are taken into account by subtracting the contribution of a Weyl fermion Transport coefficient for this theory is evaluated perturbatively in [16]. The results are inconsistent with that obtained using the argument involving the consistency of the Euclidean vacuum. We will show the method of global anomaly matching is consistent with the perturbative evaluation in [16] to mod 2.

The index theorem for gravitinos on closed manifolds is given by [21, 30]

$$\mathrm{Index}(\not{D}_{3/2}(B)) = \int_B \hat{A}(B)\left(\mathrm{Tr}e^{iR/2\pi} - 1\right). \tag{3.31}$$

[6] We thank Samuel Monnier for this explanation.

Expanding the curvature polynomials $\hat{A}(B)$ for the case when the manifold is of 4 dimensions we obtain

$$\text{Ind}(\not{D}_{\frac{3}{2}}(B)) = -\frac{23}{24 \times 8\pi^2}\int_B \text{Tr}(R \wedge R). \tag{3.32}$$

Therefore following [25] [7] , the index theorem for manifolds with boundary is given by

$$\text{Ind}(\not{D}_{\frac{3}{2}}(B)) = -\frac{23}{24 \times 8\pi^2}\int_B \text{Tr}(R \wedge R) + \frac{23}{24 \times 8\pi^2}\int_\Sigma \text{Tr}(\theta \wedge R) - \frac{\eta_{\frac{3}{2}}}{2}. \tag{3.33}$$

Again our definition of $\eta_{3/2}$ is of opposite sign compared to that in [25] since in our notation the change in the effective action is given by $Z[g^T] \to e^{-i\eta_{3/2}} Z[g]$. The integrals are identical to the case of the Weyl fermions and proceeding From (3.5), we see that the only difference between the index theorem for gravitinos is the pre factor in front of $\text{Tr}(R \wedge R)$ and $\text{Tr}(\theta \wedge R)$. Proceeding similarly as before we obtain

$$\eta_{3/2} = \frac{-23}{6} - \frac{23 f^4(1)}{12} + 2\text{Ind}(\not{D}_{\frac{3}{2}}(B)). \tag{3.34}$$

Again the dependence on the filling function $f(1)$ can be removed by adding a Chern-Simons term. The index of the spin 3/2 operator on B is an integer since the manifold B has the topology of the solid torus. The η invariant takes into account of all terms mod 2. Therefore we obtain that the η invariant for gravitinos to be

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{3/2} &= \frac{-23}{6} \mod 2, \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \mod 2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

We see that upto mod 2 the η invariant for Weyl gravitinos is identical to that of the Weyl fermions. This result is consistent with the direct perturbative calculations of the transport coefficients for gravitinos done in [16]. The perturbative calculations also show that $\lambda^{(2)}$ for gravitinos is identical to that of Weyl fermions in $d = 2$. Therefore we conclude that in $d = 2$, the transport coefficient for gravitinos obtained from matching global anomalies is consistent with perturbative calculations to mod 2.

4 Global anomalies and transport in $d = 6$

In $d = 6$ we start with the following metric g on $\hat{T}^6$.

$$ds^2 = (dt + a_1(a)da + a_2(b)dz + a_3(y)dx)^2 + dx^2 + dz^2 + da^2 + db^2 + dy^2. \tag{4.1}$$

[7] In [25] the index theorem for gravitinos is written as the difference of curvature polynomial appropriate for only the spin 3/2 field and the curvature polynomial for fermions. We have combined the two polynomials, so that the end result is that for the 'physical' gravitino.

Note that now the co-ordinate a will play the role of x in the previous section, this convention has been chosen so that it agrees with that in [16]. The co-ordinates satisfy the periodicity

$$\begin{aligned} t \sim t + 2\pi, \quad a \sim a + 2\pi, \quad b \sim b + 2\pi, \\ x \sim x + 2\pi, \quad y \sim y + 2\pi, \quad z \sim z + 2\pi. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

We choose anti-periodic boundary conditions for the fermions in all the directions along the torus. Consider the (x, y) plane: since we have (A, A) boundary conditions on this plane, an allowed non-trivial field configuration for the metric component a_3 is given by

$$a_3(y) = 2n\frac{y}{2\pi}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.3}$$

This ensures that the $a_3 \to a_3 + 2n$ under $y \to y + 2\pi$. Thus we have a T^2 transformation in the torus along the (x, y) plane. Therefore the boundary conditions (A, A) remain invariant in these directions. Similarly in the (z, y) plane, to preserve the (A, A) boundary conditions in these directions we consider the non-trivial field configuration

$$a_2(b) = 2m\frac{b}{2\pi}, \qquad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.4}$$

Thus the fields $a_3(y)$ and $a_2(b)$ have non-trivial windings along the compact direction. The metric component $a_1(a)$ will play the role of field $a(x)$ for the $d = 4$ case discussed in the previous sections. We wish to consider the metric related to the one in 4.1 by the T^2 diffeomorphism given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = (dt + (a_1(a) + 2)da + a_2(b)dz + a_3(y)dx)^2 \\ + dx^2 + dz^2 + da^2 + db^2 + dy^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

The 7-dimensional mapping torus Σ which interpolates between (4.1) and (4.5) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_\Sigma = du^2 + (dt + [a_1(a) + 2u]\, da + a_2(b)dz + a_3(y)dx)^2 \\ + dx^2 + dz^2 + da^2 + db^2 + dy^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Here the coordinate u interpolates between the torus (4.1) and the one related to it by T^2 diffeomorphism in (4.5) as u runs from 0 to 1. Therefore we have the identifications

$$(t, a, u, b, z, x, y) \sim (t - 2a, a, u + 1, b, z, x, y). \tag{4.7}$$

Filling up the time circle we get,

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_B = dr^2 + du^2 + f(r)^2(dt + [a_1(a) + 2u]\, da + a_2(b)dz + a_3(y)dx)^2 \\ + dx^2 + dz^2 + da^2 + db^2 + dy^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

The filling function satisfies the condition

$$\lim_{r\to 0} f(r) = r, \tag{4.9}$$

for the absence of conical singularities. The product metric at the boundary $r = 1$ is defined to be,

$$\begin{aligned} ds_P^2 = dr^2 + du^2 + f(1)^2(dt + [a_1(a) + 2u]\, da + a_2(b)dz + a_3(y)dx)^2 \\ + dx^2 + dz^2 + da^2 + db^2 + dy^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

The metric on B given in (4.8) can now be used to evaluate the η invariant for the T^2 diffeomorphism using the APS index theorem.

The index theorem for the Dirac operator on B is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ind}(\not{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}(B)) = \frac{-1}{6!}\int_B \left(\frac{p_2(R)}{2} - \frac{7}{8}p_1(R)^2\right) - \frac{1}{6!\times(2\pi)^4}\int_\Sigma \left(\frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}(\theta\wedge R\wedge R\wedge R)\right. \\ \left. -\frac{1}{16}\mathrm{Tr}(\theta\wedge R)\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R) + \frac{7}{32}\mathrm{Tr}(\theta\wedge R)\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\right) - \frac{\eta_{1/2}}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where the Pontryagin classes are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(R) &= \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^4}\left(\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R\wedge R\wedge R) - \frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\right), \\ p_1(R) &= \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)}{2}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

and θ is the difference of the spin connections of B and the product metric P.

$$\theta^a_{\mu b} = \omega^a_{\mu b}(B) - \omega^a_{\mu b}(P). \tag{4.13}$$

Essentially the index theorem in (4.11) is for a closed 8-manifold with the corrections due to the presence of a boundary. These corrections are obtained by replacing a single R in the anomaly polynomial by θ [25]. Once $\eta_{1/2}$ is obtained the change in the partition function is given by

$$Z[g^{T^2}] = e^{-i\eta_{1/2}} Z[g]. \tag{4.14}$$

We proceed to evaluate the integrals occurring on the RHS of (4.11).

Contribution from the second Pontryagin class

We first show that all the contributions from the second Pontryagin class to the index theorem in (4.11) is identical to a Chern-Simons term on the boundary Σ. Consider the term involving the second Pontryagin class in (4.11) along with the associated boundary term which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{p_2} = \frac{-1}{6!}\int_B \left(\frac{p_2(\mathcal{R})}{2}\right) + \frac{-1}{6!\times(2\pi)^4}\int_\Sigma \left(\frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}(\theta\wedge R\wedge R\wedge R)\right. \\ \left. -\frac{1}{16}\mathrm{Tr}(\theta\wedge R)\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the definition of the second Pontryagin class we obtain

$$I_{p_2} = \frac{1}{6!(2\pi)^4}\int_B \left(\frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R\wedge R\wedge R) - \frac{1}{16}\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\right) + \frac{-1}{6!\times(2\pi)^4}\int_\Sigma \left(\frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}(\theta\wedge R\wedge R\wedge R) - \frac{1}{16}\mathrm{Tr}(\theta\wedge R)\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\right). \quad (4.15)$$

Each of these integrals have been evaluated in (A.6). On substituting the results for the integrals we obtain

$$I_{p_2} = \frac{-1}{4\times 6!}\int dbdya_2'(b)a_3'(y)\left\{3f(1)^8\left[a_2'(b)^2 + a_3'(y)^2 + a_2'(b)^2\left(1+a_3'(y)^2\right)\right] + 4f(1)^4 f'(1)^2\left(1+a_2'(b)^2+a_3'(y)^2\right)\right\}. \quad (4.16)$$

Note that in the integral I_{p_2}, all terms depend on the filling function f, therefore we expect the entire contribution not to contribute to the topological η invariant. We will now show that the entire contribution of I_{p_2} can be be accounted by a Chern-Simons term on the boundary Σ. Let us define the Chern-Simons form on Σ

$$\mathcal{I}_{CS_1} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{1}{8}\Big[\mathrm{Tr}(\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge d\omega) + \frac{8}{5}\mathrm{Tr}(d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega) \quad (4.17)$$

$$+\frac{4}{5}\mathrm{Tr}(d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega) + \frac{4}{7}\mathrm{Tr}(\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega) + 2\mathrm{Tr}(d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega) - \frac{1}{16}\mathrm{Tr}(\omega\wedge d\omega + \frac{2}{3}\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega)\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\Big]. \quad (4.18)$$

It is can be verified that

$$-\frac{1}{6!}p_2(R) = \frac{1}{6!}d\left(\mathcal{I}_{CS_1}\right). \quad (4.19)$$

We now integrate the Chern-Simons form $\mathcal{I}$ on the boundary Σ. The integrals of each of the terms occurring in $\mathcal{I}$ is given in (A.13). Putting all the terms together we obtain

$$I_{CS_1} = \frac{1}{6!}\int_\Sigma \mathcal{I}_{CS_1}, = \frac{-1}{4\times 6!}\int dbdya_2'(b)a_3'(y)\left\{3f(1)^8\left[a_2'(b)^2 + a_3'(y)^2 + a_2'(b)^2\left(1+a_3'(y)^2\right)\right] + 4f(1)^4 f'(1)^2\left(1+a_2'(b)^2+a_3'(y)^2\right)\right\}. \quad (4.20)$$

In performing this integrals note that the spin connection $\omega, d\omega$ is evaluated at the boundary $r=1$, The curvature forms in the last term of (4.17) is the curvature of the bulk metric evaluated at the boundary.

It is indeed remarkable that the contribution of the second Pontryagin class to the APS index theorem given in (4.16) coincides precisely with the value of the

Chern-Simons form in (4.20). Therefore we can completely remove the contribution of the second Pontryagin class by subtracting out the Chern-Simons term (4.20) at the boundary. As in the case of $d = 2$ studied in the earlier section, this operation ensures that we pick up only the purely topological terms in the η invariant. Thus we conclude the the second Pontryagin class does not contribute to the purely topological terms in the η invariant and therefore will not contribute to transport. This was observed in the 'replacement rule' [17, 18, 24] as well as the holographic calculations of [31].

Contributions from square of the first Pontryagin class

On the RHS of the index theorem (4.11) the contributions due to p_1^2 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{p_1} &= \frac{7}{8\times 6!}\int_X \left(p_1(R)^2\right) + \frac{-7}{32\times 6!\times(2\pi)^4}\int_\Sigma \left(\mathrm{Tr}(\theta\wedge R)\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\right), \\ &= \frac{7}{32\times 6!\times(2\pi)^4}\int_X \left(\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\right) - \frac{7}{32\times 6!\times(2\pi)^4}\int_\Sigma \left(\mathrm{Tr}(\theta\wedge R)\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Substituting from (A.6) for the curvature integrals we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{p_1} = \frac{-7}{32\times 6!} f(1)^4 a_2'(b)a_3'(y)\left\{-16f'(1)^2\left(a_2'(b)^2+a_3'(y)^2+1\right)\right. \\ \left. -f(1)^4\left[11a_2'(b)^2\left(a_3'(y)^2+1\right)+5a_2'(b)^4+5a_3'(y)^4+11a_3'(y)^2+5\right]+48\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Note that this integral contains terms which depend on the filling function f as well as the pure topological term which arises from the last term in (4.22). We will again show that all terms that depend on the filling function can be canceled by a Chern-Simons term evaluate at the boundary Σ. From the appendix we have the identity

$$p_1^2(R) = \frac{1}{4(2\pi)^4} d(\mathrm{Tr}(\omega\wedge d\omega + \frac{2}{3}\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega)\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R). \tag{4.23}$$

Therefore we consider the Chern-Simons term

$$I_{CS_2} = \frac{7}{32\times 6!\times(2\pi)^4}\int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}(\omega\wedge d\omega + \frac{2}{3}\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R). \tag{4.24}$$

Substituting for the spin connection at the boundary and the bulk curvature, but evaluated at the boundary we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{CS_2} = \frac{-7}{32\times 6!} f(1)^4 a_2'(b)a_3'(y)\left\{-16f'(1)^2\left(a_2'(b)^2+a_3'(y)^2+1\right)\right. \\ \left. -f(1)^4\left[11a_2'(b)^2\left(a_3'(y)^2+1\right)+5a_2'(b)^4+5a_3'(y)^4+11a_3'(y)^2+5\right]\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Note the absence of the last term of (4.22) in (4.25).

Now using the results in (4.16) , (4.20), (4.22) and (4.25) we can write the index theorem in (4.11) as

$$\frac{\eta_{1/2}}{2} = -\frac{7}{480(2\pi)^2}\int dbdydadza_2'(b)a_3'(y) + I_{CS1} + I_{CS2} - \mathrm{Ind}(\not{D}_{1/2}(B)). \quad (4.26)$$

Again note that removing the Chern-Simons terms we obtain a purely topological η invariant, also the index of the Dirac operator is an integer. Therefore we obtain that the shift of the phase in the path integral under the T^2 transformation, $a_1(a) \to a_1(a) + 2$ is given by

$$\eta_{1/2} = -\frac{7}{240(2\pi)^2}\int dbdydxdza_2'(b)a_3'(y) \qquad \text{mod } 2. \quad (4.27)$$

Due to the quantization conditions (4.3) and (4.4) we obtain

$$\eta_{1/2} = -\frac{7nm}{60} \qquad \text{mod } 2. \quad (4.28)$$

An effective action which reproduces this phase shift is given by

$$S_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{i7\pi}{480(2\pi)^3}\int dadbdxdydza_1(a)a_2'(b)a_3'(y). \quad (4.29)$$

Note that under $a_1 \to a_1 + 2$ the phase shift from this effective action is given by $e^{-i\pi\eta_{1/2}}$. This effective action can formally be written as a Chern-Simons form by introducing the graviphoton field $A = A_\mu dx^\mu$ as

$$S_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{i7\pi}{960(2\pi)^3}\int A \wedge dA \wedge dA. \quad (4.30)$$

4.1 Fermions

To take the decompactification limit and to introduce the temperature we resale the coordinates as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a} &= \frac{L_a a}{2\pi}, \qquad \tilde{t} = \frac{\beta t}{2\pi}, \qquad \tilde{z} = \frac{L_z a}{2\pi}, \\ \tilde{x} &= \frac{L_x x}{2\pi}, \qquad \tilde{y} = \frac{L_y a}{2\pi}, \qquad \tilde{b} = \frac{L_b b}{2\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

After introducing dimensions by rescaling the metric using

$$\tilde{ds}^2 = (\frac{\beta}{2\pi})^2, \quad (4.32)$$

the metric in (4.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{s}^2 = (d\tilde{t} + \tilde{a}_1(\tilde{a})d\tilde{a} + \tilde{a}_2(\tilde{b})d\tilde{z} + \tilde{a}_3(\tilde{y})d\tilde{x})^2 \\ +(\frac{\beta}{L_x})^2 d\tilde{x}^2 + (\frac{\beta}{L_z})^2 d\tilde{z}^2 + (\frac{\beta}{L_a})^2 d\tilde{a}^2 + (\frac{\beta}{L_b})^2 d\tilde{b}^2 + (\frac{\beta}{L_y})^2 d\tilde{y}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

where $\tilde{a_1}, \tilde{a_2}, \tilde{a_3}$ are defined as,

$$\tilde{a_1} = \frac{\beta}{L_a} a_1, \qquad \tilde{a_2} = \frac{\beta}{L_z} a_2, \qquad \tilde{a_3} = \frac{\beta}{L_x} a_3. \tag{4.34}$$

After these change of variables, the effective action in (4.29) becomes

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \frac{-i7\pi}{\beta^3 480} \int d\tilde{b} d\tilde{y} d\tilde{a} d\tilde{z} d\tilde{x} \tilde{a}_1(\tilde{a}) \tilde{a}_2'(\tilde{b}) \tilde{a}_3'(\tilde{y}). \tag{4.35}$$

We decompactify the spatial directions and then write the action in Fourier space, we obtain

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \frac{-i7\pi}{\beta^3 480} \int \frac{d^5 p d^5 k}{((2\pi)^5)^2} (ik^b ip^y) \tilde{a}_1(-p-k) \tilde{a}_2(k) \tilde{a}_3(p). \tag{4.36}$$

The transport coefficient $\lambda_3^{(6)}$ which is sensitive to the pure gravitational anomaly is defined by the following Kubo formula [16]

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_3^6 &= -\frac{3\langle T^{ta}(-p-k) T^{tx}(p) T^{tz}(k)\rangle}{2(ip^y)(ik^b)}, \\ &= -\frac{3i\langle T^{\tau a}(-p-k) T^{\tau x}(p) T^{\tau z}(k)\rangle}{2(ip^y)(ik^b)}, \\ &= -\frac{3i}{(2ip^y)(ik^b)} \frac{\delta^3 \ln Z}{\delta g_{\tau a} \delta g_{\tau x} \delta g_{\tau z}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

In the second line of the above equation we have analytically continued to Euclidean correlators using $t = -i\tau$. In the last line we have written the correlator in terms of derivatives on the partition function. Using $\ln Z = -S_{\text{eff}}$ and identifying $\delta g_{\tau a} = \delta a_1, \delta g_{\tau z} = \delta a_2, \delta g_{\tau x} = \delta a_3$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_3^6 &= -\frac{3i}{2(ip^y)(ik^b)} \frac{(i7\pi)(ip^y)(ik^b)}{\beta^4 480} (2\pi)^5 \beta\delta(0), \\ &= \frac{7\pi}{320\beta^4} \times (2\pi)^5 \beta\delta(0). \end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

In the last line we have factored out the terms which are due to the overall momentum conservation. Therefore we obtain

$$\lambda_{3(1/2)}^6 = \frac{7\pi}{320\beta^4}. \tag{4.39}$$

This result coincides with the one obtained in [16] using perturbation theory at one loop [8].

[8] Note the first term in equation (4.39) of [16].

4.2 Gravitinos

We will now show that the constraints obtained for the thermal effective action for gravitinos using global anomalies is consistent with the result for the transport coefficient $\lambda_3^{(6)}$ obtained using perturbation theory in [16]. The APS index theorem for gravitinos is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ind}(\not{D}_{\frac{3}{2}}) = \frac{-1}{6!}\int_B\left(\frac{245p_2(\mathcal{R})}{2}-\frac{275}{8}p_1(\mathcal{R})^2\right)-\frac{1}{6!\times(2\pi)^4}\int_\Sigma\left(\frac{245}{8}\text{Tr}(\theta\wedge R\wedge R\wedge R)\right.\\ \left.-\frac{245}{16}\text{Tr}(\theta\wedge R)\text{Tr}(R\wedge R)+\frac{275}{32}\text{Tr}(\theta\wedge R)\text{Tr}(R\wedge R)\right)-\frac{\eta_{\frac{3}{2}}}{2}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

The coefficients in front of the curvature polynomials take care of the subtraction of the ghosts and therefore the result for the η is for the 'physical gravitino'.

Evaluating the curvature polynomials just as in the spin 1/2 case we obtain

$$\eta_{3/2} = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{275}{240}\int dbdydxdza_2'(b)a_3'(y)+\frac{450}{7}I_{CS_2}+490I_{CS_1}. \tag{4.41}$$

Here we have dropped the contribution of the index of the spin 3/2 operator since it is an integer for the solid torus. Now the pure topological term in $\eta_{3/2}$ is extracted by removing the Chern-Simon terms. Finally we also substitute the possible winding configurations given in (4.3) and (4.4) for the graviphoton fields a_2, a_3. This reduces (4.41) to

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{3/2} &= -\frac{275}{60}nm, \\ &= -\frac{35}{60}nm-4nm = -\frac{35}{60} \mod 2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

Therefore up to mod 2 we can write $\eta_{3/2}$ as

$$\eta_{3/2} = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{35}{480}\int dbdydxdza_2'(b)a_3'(y). \tag{4.43}$$

The effective action which reproduces this phase shift under $a_1 \to a_1+2$ is given by

$$S_{eff} = -\frac{i35\pi}{480(2\pi)^3}\int dbdydxdadza_1(a)a_2'(b)a_3'(y). \tag{4.44}$$

Note that this is 5 times the result obtained for the Weyl fermion in (4.29). Therefore on decompactifying the spatial directions and extracting out the transport coefficient for the gravitinos we obtain

$$\lambda^{(6)}_{3(3/2)} = \frac{35\pi}{320\beta^4}. \tag{4.45}$$

The above result coincides with that obtained using perturbation theory at one loop [16].

The general pattern seen for the contribution of the gravitino to the transport coefficient in $2d$ dimensions is that its value is $2d-1$ times that the result for the Weyl fermion. It is remarkable that mod 2 ambiguity in determining the thermal effective action using global anomalies is consistent with this value of the transport coefficient for the gravitino.

4.3 Self-dual tensors

The APS index theorem for self-dual tensors in $d=6$ is given by

$$\frac{\sigma_S(B)}{8} = \frac{-1}{6!}\int_B\left(\frac{28p_2(R)}{2}-\frac{16}{8}p_1(R)^2\right)-\frac{1}{6!\times(2\pi)^4}\int_\Sigma\left(\frac{28}{8}\mathrm{Tr}(\theta\wedge R\wedge R\wedge R)\right.$$
$$\left.-\frac{28}{16}\mathrm{Tr}(\theta\wedge R)\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)+\frac{16}{32}\mathrm{Tr}(\theta\wedge R)\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\right)-\frac{\eta_A}{2}. \tag{4.46}$$

Going through the same steps of evaluating the curvature polynomial and using the fact that the Hirzebruch index for a solid torus vanishes we obtain

$$\eta_A = \frac{-16}{240(2\pi)^2}\int dbdydadza_2'(b)a_3'(y)+56I_{CS1}+\frac{32}{7}I_{CS2}. \tag{4.47}$$

For the refined global anomaly expression of [28] the term $\sigma(B)/8$ is replaced by $\lambda\wedge\lambda$ where λ is a 4-form. Now for the solid torus B which is a disc times a torus, $D_2\times T^6$. The relative cohomology of the disc has a unique generator of degree 2. This ensures that the intersection pairing in degree 4 of the relative cohomology of $D_2\times T^6$ vanishes. For this situation we can take $\lambda=0$ [9]. For the refined global anomaly formula again there is no need to make an assumption regarding the Hirzebruch index of B and we obtain the same result as in (4.47). Extracting out the topological term by dropping the Chern-Simons contribution we obtain

$$\eta_A = \frac{-16}{240(2\pi)^2}\int dbdydxdza_2'(b)a_3'(y). \tag{4.48}$$

The thermal effective action which reproduces this phase shift under $a_1\to a_1+2$ is given by

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \frac{-i16\pi}{480(2\pi)^3}\int dbdydxdadza_1(a)a_2'(b)a_3'(y). \tag{4.49}$$

Now going through the same steps of decompactifying the spatial directions and extracting out the transport coefficient we obtain the following result for self-dual tensors

$$\lambda^{(6)}_{3(S)} = \frac{16\pi}{320\beta^4} = \frac{\pi}{20\beta^4}. \tag{4.50}$$

In the next section we will verify this result by an explicit perturbative calculation for the self dual tensors.

[9] We again thank Samuel Monnier for explaining this to us.

5 Transport for self dual tensors in $d = 6$ at one loop

Self dual tensors in $4k + 2$ dimensions have no Lorentz invariant action though they have Lorentz covariant equations of motion. Pure gravitational anomalies exhibited by these theories were studied in perturbation theory by [21]. They proposed Feynman rules and the propagator for these fields by which gravitational anomalies in these theories were evaluated. In this section we use these rules at finite temperature to evaluate the transport coefficient $\lambda_3^{(6)}$ in $d = 6$. The field strength of the self dual anti symmetric tensor is defined by

$$F_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} = \partial_{\mu_1} A_{\mu_2\mu_3} + (\text{cyclic permutations}), \tag{5.1}$$

where $A_{\mu_1\mu_2}$ is the 2nd rank anti-symmetric gauge potential. The self dual condition in Euclidean space is given by

$$F^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} = \frac{i}{3!\sqrt{g}} \epsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3} F_{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3} \equiv i\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}, \tag{5.2}$$

where the orientation is chosen by setting

$$\epsilon^{\tau azxyb} = 1. \tag{5.3}$$

Let us consider the theory of self dual tensors coupled to metric fluctuations. We work in Euclidean space with the signature $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$ The Kubo formula for the transport coefficient of interest in Euclidean space is given by

$$\tilde{\lambda}_3^{(6)} = -\frac{3}{2} \lim_{p_b, k_y \to 0} \frac{\langle T^{\tau a}(k+p) T^{\tau x}(-k) T^{\tau z}(-p) \rangle}{i p_b i k_y}. \tag{5.4}$$

where p, k are the external momenta. They are chosen such that

$$p = \left\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, p^b\right\}, \qquad k = \left\{0, 0, 0, 0, k^y, 0\right\}. \tag{5.5}$$

The correlator in Minkowski space is related to that in (5.4) by

$$\tilde{\lambda}_3^{(6)} = -i\lambda_3^{(6)}. \tag{5.6}$$

Note that in (5.4) we have taken all the external frequencies to zero first. The stress tensor for the self dual boson is defined as follows [21] [10] First consider

$$T_{\mu\nu}(F) = -\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\alpha\beta} F_\nu^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{12} g_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} F^{\alpha\beta\gamma}. \tag{5.7}$$

[10] We have fixed the over all sign in the stress tensor by demanding that it agrees with the 2 dimensional conformal field theory definition of the stress tensor for the chiral boson when applied to $d = 2$. The reason the sign differs from that in [21] is due to our choice of mostly negative signature of space time.

Now we impose the self dual condition by considering

$$T_{\mu\nu}(F^+) = T_{\mu\nu}(\frac{1}{2}(F + i\tilde{F})). \tag{5.8}$$

The hydrodynamic correlation function in (5.4) includes the following expectation values

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T^{\mu\alpha}T^{\nu\beta}T^{\rho\sigma}\rangle_E = &\langle T^{\mu\alpha}_{fl}T^{\nu\beta}_{fl}T^{\rho\sigma}_{fl}\rangle_E \\ &-2\langle\frac{\delta T^{\mu\alpha}}{\sqrt{g}\delta g_{\nu\beta}}T^{\rho\sigma}\rangle_E - 2\langle\frac{\delta T^{\mu\alpha}}{\sqrt{g}\delta g_{\rho\beta}}T^{\nu\sigma}\rangle_E \\ &-2\langle T^{\mu\alpha}\frac{\delta T^{\nu\beta}}{\sqrt{g}\delta g_{\rho\sigma}}\rangle_E + 4\langle\frac{\delta^2 T^{\mu\alpha}}{\sqrt{g}\delta g_{\nu\alpha}\delta g_{\rho\sigma}}\rangle_E.\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

All these expectation values are taken in the Euclidean vacuum. The first term on the RHS is the stress tensor evaluated in flat space. All the rest of the terms are contact terms which need to be evaluated carefully. Note that in each of the stress tensor insertions we need to impose the self dual condition by using (5.8).

Before we discuss the contact terms, we will present the propagator to evaluate these correlators. The thermal 2-point function of the gauge invariant fields is given by

$$\begin{aligned}S_B(\omega_n, p) &= \langle F^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(\omega_n, p)F^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}(-\omega_{n'}, -p_3)\rangle, \\ &= \left(\frac{p^{\mu_1}p^{\nu_1}g^{\mu_2\nu_2}g^{\mu_3\nu_3}}{\omega_n^2 + p^2} + \text{Permutations}\right)\beta\delta_{n,n'}(2\pi)^5\delta^5(p - p_3),\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

where, the frequencies for the bosons in the Euclidean theory are even multiples of πT and are given by

$$\omega_n = 2n\pi T, \qquad\qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5.11}$$

Lets now discuss how we proceed to evaluate each of the terms in (5.9). The first term is obtained by evaluating the Wick contractions of the flat space stress tensor written in momentum space. To be explicit we write down the (τx) component of the stress tensor

$$\begin{aligned}T^{\tau x}_{fl}(-k) = -\frac{1}{\beta}\Sigma_{\omega_m}\int\frac{d^5p_2}{(2\pi)^5}\big\{&F^{\tau ab}(-p_2 - k)F^{xab}(p_2) + F^{\tau ay}(-p_2 - k)F^{xay}(p_2) \\ &+F^{\tau az}(-p_2 - k)F^{xaz}(p_2) + F^{\tau by}(-p_2 - k)F^{xby}(p_2) \\ &+F^{\tau bz}(-p_2 - k)F^{xbz}(p_2) + F^{\tau yz}(-p_2 - k)F^{xyz}(p_2)\big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Here the dependence on the Matsubara frequency in the integrand is present in p_2 whose time component is ω_m, which we have not been explicit. Note that though we need to impose the self dual projection on the field strength at every insertion of the stress tensor it is sufficient to work with the self dual insertion on one of the

insertions of the stress in the Wick contractions [21]. The details of all the Wick contractions are performed in the appendix (B). To evaluate the contact terms in (5.9) we expand the stress tensor in (5.7) by considering only metric fluctuations $h_{\tau x}(k)$ and $h_{\tau z}(p)$. Here we write down an example of the action of the derivative with respect to $h_{\tau z}$ on $T^{\tau x}$

$$\frac{\delta T^{\tau x}(-k)}{\delta h_{\tau z}(p)} = -\sum_{\omega_m}\int \frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\left\{F^{zab}(-p_3-p-k)F^{xab}(p_3)+F^{zay}(-p_3-p-k)F^{xay}(p_3)\right.$$
$$\left.+F^{zby}(-p_3-p-k)F^{xby}(p_3)\right\}. \tag{5.13}$$

Here again we have suppressed the dependence of the Matsubara frequency in the time component of p_3. We have to Wick contract the above expression with $T^{\tau a}(k+p)$ on which the self dual projection is inserted. Similar terms are written down for these class of contact terms. In the appendix B, it is shown in detail how all these contact terms yield vanishing contribution to the transport coefficient. Finally we have the last contact term in (5.9) resulting from two derivatives of the metric on the stress tensor. It is shown in the appendix that this term also vanishes. In summary we do not have any contribution from the contact terms.

The analysis of all possible Wick contractions is tedious and has to be done very methodically. This is also performed in detail in the appendix B. After the Wick contractions there are angular integrals over the internal momenta to be performed. We perform these integrals using the method developed in [16]. Essentially we take the zero external frequency limit first and then take the external momenta to zero before the integration. The integrands then simplify considerably and the integrals are easily performed. Finally all the finite terms resulting from the Wick contractions in the zero momentum limit of the correlator (5.4) are given in (B). The end result of this long calculation yields the following result for the transport coefficient

$$\lambda^{(6)}_{3(S)} = \frac{\pi T^4}{20}. \tag{5.14}$$

This agrees with (4.50), the result obtained using global anomaly matching.

6 Conclusions

We have used the method of global anomaly matching put forward in [20] for theories with chiral gravitinos and self dual tensors to determine thermal effective actions and therefore parity odd transport coefficients. For the case of gravitinos, we obtain results for transport coefficients which are consistent with perturbative calculations of [16] up to mod 2. Our analysis in $d = 6$ shows that the second Pontryagin class does not contribute to the topological η invariant and therefore does not contribute to transport. This provides a topological explanation for this observation in the

replacement rule of [22]. Finally we have evaluated the transport coefficients of self dual tensors in $d = 6$ using the Feynman rules put forward in [21]. As far as we are aware this is the first instance where the Feynman rules proposed by [21] for the self dual tensor has been used at finite temperature. It is indeed satisfying that the result agrees with the expectation from global anomaly matching as well as the 'replacement rule' of [22].

From the study in this paper it is clear that these transport coefficients are not perturbatively renormalized [11] since they are related to global anomalies up to mod 2. However it will be interesting to figure out the reason which can invoked to fix the mod 2 ambiguity in the method of global anomalies. One thing we have roughly checked that is this ambiguity persists also for gravitinos in $d = 10$. It is also of interest to note that it is more easy to determined the η invariant using the replacement rule of [22] that the direct calculation of various curvature invariants. There are no holographic checks for the transport coefficients of self dual tensors and gravitinos since these fields usually do not occur as dynamic fields in boundary theories. But, it will be interesting to devise other situations where contribution to transport coefficients from these fields can be checked. Lastly, we claim that the η invariant calculated by using the index theorem can be verified by the computation of various correlators in weak coupling regime. This provides an easier alternative way to compute the η-invariant upto a factor of mod 2. In appendix C we have evaluated the η invariant for the T^2 transformation for various chiral matter in $d = 10$ using the replacement rule of [22] [12]. It is easy to repeat this exercise for arbitrary even dimensions.

Acknowledgments

We thank R. Loganayagam for numerous discussions and suggestions which helped clarify our understanding of the issues related to this project. We thank Samuel Monnier for very useful correspondence which helped us update our understanding of the global anomaly formula for self dual tensors. He also helped us in understanding how the refined global anomaly formula applies for the T-diffeomorphisim dealt with in this paper. We also thank Kallol Sen for helping us automate performing Wick contractions in Mathematica.

[11] Recently non-renormalization of anomalous transport coefficients was studied holographically in [32].

[12] We thank R. Loganayagam for suggesting us to carry out this exercise.

A Curvature integrals and Chern-Simons terms

It is useful to list both the vielbeins used in evaluating the difference between the spin connections of the bulk and the product metric which is defined by

$$\theta = \omega^a_{\mu b}(B) - \omega^a_{\mu b}(P). \tag{A.1}$$

2d vielbeins

The vielbein which was used for evaluating the spin connection $\omega^a_{\mu b}(B)$ for the metric in (3.6) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{\hat{r}}_r(B) = 1, \qquad e^{\hat{y}}_y(B) = 1, \\ &e^{\hat{t}}_t(B) = f(r), \qquad e^{\hat{t}}_x(B) = f(r)\left(a(x) + 2y\right), \qquad e^{\hat{x}}_x(B) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

The vielbein used of evaluating the spin connection $\omega^a_{\mu b}(P)$ for the metric in (3.7) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{\hat{r}}_r(P) = 1, \qquad e^{\hat{y}}_y(P) = 1, \\ &e^{\hat{t}}_t(P) = f(1), \qquad e^{\hat{t}}_x(P) = f(1)\left(a(x) + 2y\right), \qquad e^{\hat{x}}_x(P) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

6d vielbeins

To study the global anomalies in 6d we evaluate $\theta^a_\mu(B)$ for the metric in (4.8) using the following vielbeins

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{\hat{r}}_r(B) = 1, \qquad e^{\hat{y}}_y(B) = 1, \qquad e^{\hat{x}}_x(B) = 1 \qquad e^{\hat{z}}_z(B) = 1 \\ &e^{\hat{c}}_c(B) = 1, \qquad e^{\hat{b}}_b(B) = 1, \qquad e^{\hat{e}}_e(B) = 1, \qquad e^{\hat{t}}_t(B) = f(r), \\ &e^{\hat{t}}_x(B) = f(r)\left(a_1(a) + 2u\right), \qquad e^{\hat{t}}_z(B) = f(r)a_2(b), \qquad e^{\hat{t}}_b = f(r)a_3(y). \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

For the product metric at the boundary given in (4.10) the vielbeins are

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{\hat{r}}_r(P) = 1, \qquad e^{\hat{y}}_y(P) = 1, \qquad e^{\hat{x}}_x(P) = 1 \qquad e^{\hat{z}}_z(P) = 1, \\ &e^{\hat{c}}_c(P) = 1, \qquad e^{\hat{b}}_b(P) = 1, \qquad e^{\hat{e}}_e(P) = 1, \qquad e^{\hat{t}}_t(P) = f(1), \\ &e^{\hat{t}}_x(P) = f(1)\left(a_1(a) + 2u\right), \qquad e^{\hat{t}}_z(P) = f(1)a_2(b), \qquad e^{\hat{t}}_b(P) = f(1)a_3(y). \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

Curvature integrals

To evaluate the η invariant in $d = 6$ we require the following curvature integrals of the metric in (4.8) as well as boundary terms associated with the metric in (4.10)

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_B \mathrm{Tr} R \wedge R \wedge R \wedge R \\
&= \int_B 2a_2'(b)a_3'(y)f'(r)\left\{f(r)^7\left[5+5a_2'(b)^4-a_3'(y)^2+5a_3'(y)^4-a_2'(b)^2\left(1+a_3'(y)^2\right)\right]\right. \\
&\quad \left.+24f'(r)^2f''(r)\right\}, \\
&= \int dtdadbdxdydz \times \\
&\quad 4\left\{a_2'(b)a_3'(y)\left[\frac{f(1)^8}{8}\left(5+5a_2'(b)^4-a_3'(y)^2+5a_3'(y)^4-a_2'(b)^2\left(1+a_3'(y)^2\right)\right)+6f'(1)^4-6\right]\right\}, \\
I_{\theta 1} &= \int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}\theta \wedge R \wedge R \wedge R, = 24\int dtdadbdxdydz f'(1)^4 a_2'(b)a_3'(y), \\
I_2 &= \int \mathrm{Tr} R \wedge R \wedge \mathrm{Tr} R \wedge R, \\
&= \int_B 4a_2'(b)a_3'(y)f'(r)\left\{f(r)^7\left[5+5a_2'(b)^4+11a_3'(y)^2+5a_3'(y)^4+11a_2'(b)^2\left(1+a_3'(y)^2\right)\right]\right. \\
&\quad \left.+16f(r)^3\left(1+a_2'(b)^2+a_3'(y)^2\right)f'(r)^2+8\left[f(r)^4\left(1+a_2'(b)^2+a_3'(y)^2\right)+3f'(r)^2\right]f''(r)\right\}, \\
&= \int dtdadbdxdydz 8a_2'(b)a_3'(y) \times \\
&\quad \left\{\frac{f(1)^8}{8}\left[5+5a_2'(b)^4+11a_3'(y)^2+5a_3'(y)^4+11a_2'(b)^2\left(1+a_3'(y)^2\right)\right]\right. \\
&\quad \left.+4f(1)^4f'(1)^2\left(1+a_2'(b)^2+a_3'(y)^2\right)+6f'(1)^4-6\right\}, \\
I_{\theta 2} &= \int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}\theta \wedge R\mathrm{Tr} R \wedge R, = \int dtdadbdxdydz \times \\
&\left\{-\frac{1}{2}a_2'(b)a_3'(y)\left[-32f(1)^4f'(1)^2\left(1+a_2'(b)^2+a_3'(y)^2\right)-96f'(1)^4\right]\right\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.6}
$$

where the orientation is decided by $\epsilon^{taxybur} = 1$

Chern Simons terms

We obtain identities that relate the terms in the anomaly polynomial $p_1^2 and p_2^2$ to exterior derivatives of Chern-Simons terms. We then evaluate these Chern-Simons terms for the metric (4.10).

From the definition of the curvature form we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R\wedge R\wedge R) = \mathrm{Tr}(&d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge d\omega + 4d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\\ &+4d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega + 2d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\wedge\omega d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\\ &+4d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega).\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

We observe that each term in the RHS of the above equation can be written as an exact form using the following identities

$$\begin{aligned}&d[\mathrm{Tr}(\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge d\omega)] = \mathrm{Tr}d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge d\omega),\\ &d[\mathrm{Tr}(d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega)] = 2\mathrm{Tr}(d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega) + \mathrm{Tr}(d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega),\\ &\frac{2}{5}d[\mathrm{Tr}(d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega)] = \mathrm{Tr}(d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega),\\ &\frac{1}{7}d[\mathrm{Tr}(\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega)] = \mathrm{Tr}(d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega).\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Combining all these identities we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R\wedge R\wedge R) = d[&\mathrm{Tr}(\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge d\omega) + \frac{8}{5}\mathrm{Tr}(d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega)\\ &+\frac{4}{5}\mathrm{Tr}(d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega) + \frac{4}{7}\mathrm{Tr}(\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega)\\ &+2\mathrm{Tr}(d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega)].\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

Similarly,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R) = d[\mathrm{Tr}(\omega\wedge d\omega + \frac{2}{3}\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega)\wedge\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)]. \tag{A.10}$$

Finally we can write down the anomaly polynomials as exterior derivatives of Chern-Simons terms.

$$\begin{aligned}p_1(\mathcal{R})^2 &= \frac{1}{4(2\pi)^4}\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)^2}{4},\\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}d\left[\mathrm{Tr}(\omega\wedge d\omega + \frac{2}{3}\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega)\wedge\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}p_2(\mathcal{R}) &= \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^4}\left(\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R\wedge R\wedge R) - \frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\right),\\ &= \frac{-1}{4(2\pi)^4}d\left[\mathrm{Tr}(\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge d\omega) + \frac{8}{5}\mathrm{Tr}(d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega) + \frac{4}{5}\mathrm{Tr}(d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega)+\right.\\ &\quad+\frac{4}{7}\mathrm{Tr}(\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega) + 2\mathrm{Tr}(d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega)\\ &\quad\left.-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(\omega\wedge d\omega + \frac{2}{3}\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega)\wedge\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

Chern Simons integrals

We will require integrals of the various Chern Simons forms over the boundary of the metric given in (4.8). These are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge d\omega = -\frac{3(2\pi)^4}{2}\int dbdy f(1)^8 a_2'(b)a_3'(y)\left[\left(a_2'(b)^4+a_3'(y)^4\right)+1\right],\\
&\int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}d\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega = -\frac{(2\pi)^4}{2}\int dbdy f(1)^8 a_2'(b)a_3'(y)\left[\left(a_2'(b)^4+a_3'(y)^4\right)+1\right],\\
&\int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega = -\frac{(2\pi)^4}{4}\int dbdy f(1)^8 a_2'(b)a_3'(y)\left[\left(a_2'(b)^4+a_3'(y)^4\right)+1\right],\\
&\int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}d\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{4}\int dbdy f(1)^8 a_2'(b)a_3'(y)\left[a_2'(b)^2\left(a_3'(y)^2+1\right)+a_3'(y)^2\right],\\
&\int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega = 0, \qquad\qquad (A.13)\\
&\int_\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}(\omega\wedge d\omega+\frac{2}{3}\omega\wedge\omega\wedge\omega)\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R) = (2\pi)^4 f(1)^4\int dbdy a_2'(b)a_3'(y)\times\\
&\qquad\qquad \left\{-16f'(1)^2\left(a_2'(b)^2+a_3'(y)^2+1\right)\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad \left.-f(1)^4\left[11a_2'(b)^2\left(a_3'(y)^2+1\right)+5a_2'(b)^4+5a_3'(y)^4+11a_3'(y)^2+5\right]\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last integral it is important to note that the curvature form $\mathrm{Tr}(R\wedge R)$ is evaluated from the metric (4.8) but at the boundary.

B Correlators of self dual tensors in $d = 6$

In this appendix we present the details involved in evaluating the Kubo formula (5.4) to obtain the transport coefficient $\lambda^{(6)}_{3(S)}$ We first write down the components of the flat space stress tensors we will use explicitly. This will facilitate the discussion of the Wick contractions.

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{\tau a}_{fl}(p+k) = \frac{-1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_m}\int\frac{d^5p_1}{(2\pi)^5}\Big[&\frac{1}{2}\left(F^{\tau bx}+iF^{ayz}\right)(-p_1+p+k)\left(F^{abx}-iF^{\tau yz}\right)(p_1)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left(F^{\tau by}-iF^{axz}\right)(-p_1+p+k)\left(F^{aby}+iF^{\tau xz}\right)(p_1)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left(F^{\tau bz}-iF^{ayx}\right)(-p_1+p+k)\left(F^{abz}+iF^{\tau yx}\right)(p_1)\Big],\\
T^{\tau x}_{fl}(-k) = \frac{-1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_m}\int\frac{d^5p_2}{(2\pi)^5}\big[&(F^{\tau ab}(-p_2-k)F^{xab}(p_2)+F^{\tau ay}(-p_2-k)F^{xay}(p_2)\\
&+F^{\tau az}(-p_2-k)F^{xaz}(p_2)+F^{\tau by}(-p_2-k)F^{xby}(p_2)\\
&+F^{\tau bz}(-p_2-k)F^{xbz}(p_2)+F^{\tau yz}(-p_2-k)F^{xyz}(p_2)\big],\\
T^{\tau z}_{fl}(-p) = \frac{-1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_m}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\big[&F^{\tau ab}(-p_3-p)F^{zab}(p_3)+F^{\tau ay}(-p_3-p)F^{zay}(p_3)\\
&+F^{\tau ax}(-p_3-p)F^{zax}(p_3)+F^{\tau by}(-p_3-p)F^{zby}(p_3)\\
&+F^{\tau bx}(-p_3-p)F^{zbx}(p_3)+F^{\tau yx}(-p_3-p)F^{zyx}(p_3)\big].
\end{aligned}\tag{B.1}$$

We have imposed the self dual projection on $T^{\tau a}$, also note that after summing over the indices involved in F we obtain an overall factor of $1/2$. Again in writing down $T^{\tau x}$ and $T^{\tau z}$, the indices in F has been summed over.

The kinematic configurations is same as the one used in [16] for $d = 6$. The two external momenta are labelled as p, k. The momentum p has non-zero component only in the b direction, while k has non-zero momentum only in the y direction. The one loop internal momenta is labelled by p_3. To perform the integration over p_3, we will parametrize its components in terms of angular variables

$$\begin{aligned}
p_3^b = |p_3|\cos\phi_1,\quad p_3^y = |p_3|\sin\phi_1\cos\phi_2,\quad p_3^x = |p_3|\sin\phi_1\sin\phi_2\cos\phi_3,\\
p_3^z = \sin\phi_1\sin\phi_2\sin\phi_3\cos\phi_4,\quad p_3^a = \sin\phi_1\sin\phi_2\sin\phi_3\sin\phi_4.
\end{aligned}\tag{B.2}$$

With this kinematic configuration, we write down the expression for the energies which will occur in the expression for the propagators.

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{p_3+p} &= (|p_3|^2+|p|^2+2|p||p_3|\cos\phi_1)^{\frac{1}{2}},\\
E_{p3+k} &= (|p_3|^2+|k|^2+2|k||p_3|\sin\phi_1\cos\phi_2)^{\frac{1}{2}},\\
E_{p3-k} &= (|p_3|^2+|k|^2-2|k||p_3|\sin\phi_1\cos\phi_2)^{\frac{1}{2}},\\
E_{p_3+p+k} &= (|p_3|^2+|k|^2+|p|^2+2|k||p_3|\sin\phi_1\cos\phi_2+2|p||p_3|\cos\phi_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{B.3}$$

In order to keep track of the Wick contractions, we adopt the following convention. We will denote the i^{th} term in the expression for the stress tensor as, $T_i^{\mu\nu}$. For example,

$$T_2^{\tau x} = \frac{-1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n}\int \frac{d^5p_2}{(2\pi)^5} F^{\tau ay}(-p_2-k)F^{xay}(p_2). \tag{B.4}$$

From (5.12) and (5.4), we see that the general Wick contraction structure looks like,

$$I = T_i^{\tau a}T_j^{\tau x}T_k^{\tau z}, \tag{B.5}$$

where i, j, k denote the respective terms in the expression for the stress tensor.

Contractions with odd number of τ's vanish

There is one important simplification when we consider the Wick contractions before a detailed evaluation. Note that the Matsubara sums run from negative infinity to positive infinity. Applying (5.10) to such a generic contraction, it is easy to see that the Matsubara sum gives non zero contribution only when the terms involved in the Wick contraction have even number of τ indices. Let us illustrate this claim with the help of an example,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_1^{\tau a}T_3^{\tau x}T_1^{\tau z}\rangle &= \frac{-1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n^1}\int\frac{d^5p_1}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{-1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n^2}\int\frac{d^5p_2}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{-1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n^3}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\langle\frac{1}{2}F^{\tau bx}(-p_1+p+k)F^{\tau yz}(p_1)\\
&\quad F^{\tau az}(-p_2-k)F^{xaz}(p_2)F^{\tau ab}(-p_3-p)F^{zab}(p_3)\rangle,\\
&= \frac{-1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n^3}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\langle F^{abx}(p_3-k)F^{azx}(-p_3+k)\rangle\langle F^{\tau az}(p_3)F^{azb}(-p_3)\rangle\\
&\quad \langle F^{\tau bx}(p_3+p)F^{\tau ba}(-p_3-p)\rangle,\\
&= \frac{-1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n^3}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{-i}{2}\frac{(p_3^b)^2p_3^zp_3^xp_3^a(i\omega_n^3)}{((i\omega_n^3)^2-E_{p_3-k}^2)((i\omega_n^3)^2-E_{p_3}^2)((i\omega_n^3)^2-E_{p_3+p}^2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

The sum over the Matsubara frequencies in the above expression is from negative infinity to positive infinity. Note that the sum runs over an odd function of ω^3. Therefore the result vanishes.

Therefore using the observation in the previous paragraph we can conclude that

the terms in the stress tensor $T^{\tau a}$ that result in non-zero wick contractions are,

$$\begin{aligned}T^{\tau a}(p+k) = \frac{-1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_m}\int\frac{d^5p_1}{(2\pi)^5}\Bigg(&-\frac{i}{2}F^{\tau bx}(-p_1+p+k)F^{\tau yz}(p_1)+\frac{i}{2}F^{ayz}(-p_1+p+k)F^{abx}(p_1)\\&+\frac{i}{2}F^{\tau by}(-p_1+p+k)F^{\tau xz}(p_1)-\frac{i}{2}F^{axz}(-p_1+p+k)F^{aby}(p_1)\\&+\frac{i}{2}F^{\tau bz}(-p_1+p+k)F^{\tau yx}(p_1)-\frac{i}{2}F^{ayx}(-p_1+p+k)F^{abz}(p_1)\Bigg).\end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

This is because the expansion of the stress tensor $T^{\tau x}$ and $T^{\tau z}$ contains a single τ in each of its terms.

The resulting Wick contractions even after this simplification, are numerous. We have developed a Mathematica code to perform the Wick contractions. These Wick contractions can be broadly classified into two types depending on the denominators. We illustrate this fact with the following examples.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T_1^{\tau a}T_1^{\tau x}T_2^{\tau z}\rangle &= \frac{-1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n^1}\int\frac{d^5p_1}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n^2}\int\frac{d^5p_2}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n^3}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\langle-\frac{i}{2}F^{\tau bx}(-p_1+p+k)F^{\tau yz}(p_1)\\&\qquad\times F^{\tau ab}(-p_2-k)F^{xab}(p_2)F^{\tau ay}(-p_3-p)F^{zay}(p_3)\rangle,\\&= \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n^3}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{i}{2}\frac{\{-p_3\}^a\{-p_3\}^\tau\{p+p_3\}^b\{p+p_3\}^y\{k+p+p_3\}^a\{k+p+p_3\}^\tau}{\left(i\omega^2-E_{(p_3)^2}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E_{(p+p_3)^2}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E_{(k+p+p_3)^2}\right)}.\end{aligned} \tag{B.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T_5^{\tau a}T_1^{\tau x}T_5^{\tau z}\rangle &= \frac{-1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n^1}\int\frac{d^5p_1}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n^2}\int\frac{d^5p_2}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n^3}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\langle\frac{i}{2}F^{\tau bz}(-p_1+p+k)F^{\tau yx}(p_1)\\&\qquad\times F^{\tau ab}(-p_2-k)F^{xab}(p_2)F^{\tau bx}(-p_3-p)F^{zbx}(p_3)\rangle,\\&= \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n^3}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{i}{2}\frac{\{-p_3\}^a\{-p_3\}^z\{k-p_3\}^a\{p+p_3\}^b\{k-p_3\}^z\{p+p_3\}^y}{\left(i\omega^2-E_{(-p_3)^2}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E_{(k-p_3)^2}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E_{(p+p_3)^2}\right)}.\end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

Result of the Wick contractions

All the Wick contractions fall into two classes depending on the denominator. They are given by terms of the kind

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_A &= \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n^3}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}c_A,\\
c_A &= \{[-i(k^y)^2((p_3^a)^2p_3^bp_3^y+p_3^b(p_3^\tau)^2p_3^y+p_3^b(p_3^x)^2p_3^y+p_3^bp_3^y(p_3^z)^2\\
&+(p_3^b)^3p_3^y+p_3^b(p_3^y)^3)-ip_3^bk^y((p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2-(p_3^\tau)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2)\\
&((p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2+(p_3^\tau)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2)]+[-ik^y((p_3^a)^2(4(p_3^b)^2-3(p_3^\tau)^2\\
&+2((p_3^x)^2+(p_3^z)^2))+(p_3^a)^4+(p_3^b)^2(-(p_3^\tau)^2+4(p_3^x)^2+2(p_3^y)^2+4(p_3^z)^2)+3(p_3^b)^4\\
&-((p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2)(3(p_3^\tau)^2-(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2-(p_3^z)^2))+ip_3^y((p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2\\
&+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2)((p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2+(p_3^\tau)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2)\\
&-i(k^y)^2((p_3^b)^2p_3^y-(p_3^\tau)^2p_3^y+p_3^y(p_3^z)^2)]p^b+[k^y(-3i(p_3^a)^2p_3^b+ip_3^b(p_3^\tau)^2\\
&-2ip_3^b(p_3^x)^2-3ip_3^b(p_3^z)^2-3i(p_3^b)^3)+(3i(p_3^a)^2p_3^bp_3^y+ip_3^b(p_3^\tau)^2p_3^y\\
&+3ip_3^b(p_3^x)^2p_3^y+3ip_3^bp_3^y(p_3^z)^2+3i(p_3^b)^3p_3^y+3ip_3^b(p_3^y)^3)](p^b)^2\\
&+[k^y(-i(p_3^a)^2-i(p_3^b)^2-i(p_3^z)^2)+(i(p_3^a)^2p_3^y+3i(p_3^b)^2p_3^y+i(p_3^x)^2p_3^y\\
&+ip_3^y(p_3^z)^2+i(p_3^y)^3)](p^b)^3+i(p^b)^4p_3^bp_3^y\}\\
&\times\frac{-1}{2\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{k+p+p_3}\right)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.10}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_B &= \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n^3}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}c_B,\\
c_B &= \{\left[i(k^y)^2((p_3^a)^2p_3^bp_3^y+p_3^b(p_3^\tau)^2p_3^y+p_3^b(p_3^x)^2p_3^y+p_3^bp_3^y(p_3^z)^2+(p_3^b)^3p_3^y\right.\\
&\left.+p_3^b(p_3^y)^3)-ip_3^bk^y((p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2-(p_3^\tau)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2)((p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2\right.\\
&\left.+(p_3^\tau)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2)\right]+\left[-ik^y\left(3(p_3^a)^2(p_3^b)^2-3(p_3^a)^2(p_3^\tau)^2+2(p_3^a)^2(p_3^x)^2\right.\right.\\
&\left.+3(p_3^a)^2(p_3^y)^2+2(p_3^a)^2(p_3^z)^2+(p_3^a)^4-2(p_3^b)^2(p_3^\tau)^2+3(p_3^b)^2(p_3^x)^2+4(p_3^b)^2(p_3^y)^2\right.\\
&\left.+3(p_3^b)^2(p_3^z)^2+2(p_3^b)^4-3(p_3^\tau)^2(p_3^x)^2-3(p_3^\tau)^2(p_3^z)^2+3(p_3^x)^2(p_3^y)^2\right.\\
&\left.+2(p_3^x)^2(p_3^z)^2+(p_3^x)^4+3(p_3^y)^2(p_3^z)^2+2(p_3^y)^4+(p_3^z)^4\right)+i(k^y)^2\left((p_3^a)^2p_3^y\right.\\
&\left.+2(p_3^b)^2p_3^y+(p_3^x)^2p_3^y+2p_3^y(p_3^z)^2+(p_3^y)^3\right)+ip_3^y\left((p_3^a)^2\right.\\
&\left.+(p_3^b)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2)((p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2+(p_3^\tau)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2)\right]p^b\\
&+\left[-ik^y((p_3^a)^2p_3^b-p_3^b(p_3^\tau)^2+2p_3^b(p_3^x)^2+2p_3^b(p_3^y)^2+p_3^b(p_3^z)^2+(p_3^b)^3\right.\\
&\left.+ip_3^bp_3^y((p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2+(p_3^\tau)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2)+ip_3^b(k^y)^2p_3^y\right](p^b)^2\}\\
&\times\frac{-1}{2\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{k-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3}\right)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.11}
$$

From the analysis it is evident that Wick contractions in (B.8) belongs to class (B.10) while the contractions in (B.9) belongs to Class (B.11)

Contractions organised as powers of the external momenta

We will show that the contact terms in (5.9) result in vanishing contributions and therefore the entire contribution to $\lambda^{(6)}_{3(S)}$ arise from the Wick contractions of the first term in (5.9). We now systematically proceed to evaluate the terms in (B.10) and (B.11) to obtain the contributions to the transport coefficient. The terms in (B.10) and (B.11) can be arranged in polynomials in p, k according to the powers of the external momenta in the numerator of these expressions.

Examining the the terms in (B.10) and (B.11) can be arranged according to decreasing powers of the external momenta in the numerator as follows. The orders in external momenta are also indicated alongside the terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_1 &= \frac{-i(p^b)^4 p_3^b p_3^y}{2\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{p+p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{k+p+p_3}\right)}, && O(p^4),\\
M_2 &= -\frac{(-i(p_3^a)^2 - i(p_3^b)^2 - i(p_3^z)^2)k^y(p^b)^3}{2\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{p+p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{k+p+p_3}\right)}, && O(p^3k),\\
M_3 &= \frac{-ip_3^b p_3^y (k^y)^2 p^{b2}}{2\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{k-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{p+p_3}\right)}, && O(p^2k^2),\\
M_4 &= -\frac{(i(p_3^a)^2 p_3^y + 3i(p_3^b)^2 p_3^y + i(p_3^x)^2 p_3^y + i(p_3^y)^3 + ip_3^y(p_3^z)^2)}{2(i\omega^2 - E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2 - E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2 - E^2_{k+p+p_3})}(p^b)^3, && O(p^3),\\
M_5 &= -\frac{(-3i(p_3^a)^2 p_3^b - 3i(p_3^b)^3 + ip_3^b(p_3^\tau)^2 - 2ip_3^b(p_3^x)^2 - 3ip_3^b(p_3^z)^2)}{2(i\omega^2 - E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2 - E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2 - E^2_{k+p+p_3})}k^y(p^b)^2\\
&\quad + \frac{i((p_3^a)^2 p_3^b + (p_3^b)^3 - p_3^b(p_3^\tau)^2 + 2p_3^b(p_3^x)^2 + 2p_3^b(p_3^y)^2 + p_3^b(p_3^z)^2)}{2\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{k-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{p+p_3}\right)}k^y(p^b)^2, && O(p^2k),\\
M_6 &= \frac{i((p_3^b)^2 p_3^y - (p_3^\tau)^2 p_3^y + p_3^y(p_3^z)^2)}{2(i\omega^2 - E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2 - E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2 - E^2_{k+p+p_3})}(k^y)^2 p^b\\
&\quad + \frac{i((p_3^a)^2 p_3^y + 2(p_3^b)^2 p_3^y + (p_3^x)^2 p_3^y + (p_3^y)^3 + 2p_3^y(p_3^z)^2)}{2\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{k-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{p+p_3}\right)}(k^y)^2 p^b, && O(pk^2),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_7 &= -\frac{(3i(p_3^a)^2 p_3^b p_3^y + 3i(p_3^b)^3 p_3^y + ip_3^b(p_3^\tau)^2 p_3^y + 3ip_3^b(p_3^x)^2 p_3^y + 3ip_3^b(p_3^y)^3 + 3ip_3^b p_3^y(p_3^z)^2)}{2(i\omega^2 - E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2 - E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2 - E^2_{k+p+p_3})}(p^b)^2\\
&\quad - \frac{ip_3^b p_3^y((p_3^a)^2 + (p_3^b)^2 + (p_3^\tau)^2 + (p_3^x)^2 + (p_3^y)^2 + (p_3^z)^2)}{2\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{k-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E^2_{p+p_3}\right)}(p^b)^2, && O(p^2),
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.12}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_8^A &= i[(p_3^a)^4 + 3(p_3^b)^4 - (3(p_3^\tau)^2 - (p_3^x)^2 + (p_3^y)^2 - (p_3^z)^2)((p_3^x)^2 + (p_3^y)^2 + (p_3^z)^2) + (p_3^b)^2 \\
&\quad \times(-(p_3^\tau)^2 + 4(p_3^x)^2 + 2(p_3^y)^2 + 4(p_3^z)^2) + (p_3^a)^2(4(p_3^b)^2 - 3(p_3^\tau)^2 + 2((p_3^x)^2 + (p_3^z)^2)))]k^y p^b \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{2(i\omega^2 - E_{-p_3}^2)(i\omega^2 - E_{p+p_3}^2)(i\omega^2 - E_{k+p+p_3}^2)}, \qquad O(pk), \\
M_8^B &= i((p_3^a)^4 + 3(p_3^a)^2(p_3^b)^2 + 2(p_3^b)^4 - 3(p_3^a)^2(p_3^\tau)^2 - 2(p_3^b)^2(p_3^\tau)^2 + 2(p_3^a)^2(p_3^x)^2 \\
&\quad +3(p_3^b)^2(p_3^x)^2 - 3(p_3^\tau)^2(p_3^x)^2 + (p_3^x)^4 + 3(p_3^a)^2(p_3^y)^2 + 4(p_3^b)^2(p_3^y)^2 + 3(p_3^x)^2(p_3^y)^2 + 2(p_3^y)^4 \\
&\quad +2(p_3^a)^2(p_3^z)^2 + 3(p_3^b)^2(p_3^z)^2 - 3(p_3^\tau)^2(p_3^z)^2 + 2(p_3^x)^2(p_3^z)^2 + 3(p_3^y)^2(p_3^z)^2 + (p_3^z)^4)p^b k^y \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{2\left(i\omega^2 - E_{k-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E_{-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E_{p+p_3}^2\right)}, \qquad O(pk),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_9 &= \frac{i((p_3^a)^2 p_3^b p_3^y + (p_3^b)^3 p_3^y + p_3^b(p_3^\tau)^2 p_3^y + p_3^b(p_3^x)^2 p_3^y + p_3^b(p_3^y)^3 + p_3^b p_3^y (p_3^z)^2)k^{y2}}{2(i\omega^2 - E_{-p_3}^2)(i\omega^2 - E_{p+p_3}^2)(i\omega^2 - E_{k+p+p_3}^2)} \\
&\quad - \frac{k^{y2} i((p_3^a)^2 p_3^b p_3^y + (p_3^b)^3 p_3^y + p_3^b(p_3^\tau)^2 p_3^y + p_3^b(p_3^x)^2 p_3^y + p_3^b(p_3^y)^3 + p_3^b p_3^y (p_3^z)^2)}{2\left(i\omega^2 - E_{k-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E_{-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E_{p+p_3}^2\right)}, \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad O(k^2), \\
M_{10} &= ip_3^b[(p_3^a)^2 + (p_3^b)^2 - (p_3^\tau)^2 + (p_3^x)^2 + (p_3^y)^2 + (p_3^z)^2][(p_3^a)^2 + (p_3^b)^2 + (p_3^\tau)^2 + (p_3^x)^2 \\
&\quad +(p_3^y)^2 + (p_3^z)^2]\frac{1}{2(i\omega^2 - E_{-p_3}^2)(i\omega^2 - E_{p+p_3}^2)(i\omega^2 - E_{k+p+p_3}^2)}k^y \\
&\quad +ip_3^b[(p_3^a)^2 + (p_3^b)^2 - (p_3^\tau)^2 + (p_3^x)^2 + (p_3^y)^2 + (p_3^z)^2][(p_3^a)^2 + (p_3^b)^2 + (p_3^\tau)^2 + (p_3^x)^2 \\
&\quad +(p_3^y)^2 + (p_3^z)^2]\frac{1}{2\left(i\omega^2 - E_{k-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E_{-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E_{p+p_3}^2\right)}k^y, \qquad O(k),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{11} &= -\frac{ip_3^y((p_3^a)^2 + (p_3^b)^2 + (p_3^x)^2 + (p_3^y)^2 + (p_3^z)^2)((p_3^a)^2 + (p_3^b)^2 + (p_3^\tau)^2 + (p_3^x)^2 + (p_3^y)^2 + (p_3^z)^2)}{2(i\omega^2 - E_{-p_3}^2)(i\omega^2 - E_{p+p_3}^2)(i\omega^2 - E_{k+p+p_3}^2)}p^b \\
&\quad - \frac{ip_3^y((p_3^a)^2 + (p_3^b)^2 + (p_3^x)^2 + (p_3^y)^2 + (p_3^z)^2)((p_3^a)^2 + (p_3^b)^2 + (p_3^\tau)^2 + (p_3^x)^2 + (p_3^y)^2 + (p_3^z)^2)}{2\left(i\omega^2 - E_{k-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E_{-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E_{p+p_3}^2\right)}p^b, \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad O(p),
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.13}
$$

Terms which do not contribute

We now show that all contributions from M_1 to M_7 vanish on dividing by $p^b k^y$, summing over the Matsubara frequencies, performing the angular integrals and then taking the zero momentum limit.

Vanishing of M_1

$$I_{M_1} = \frac{-1}{p^b k^y} \sum_{\omega_m} \int \frac{d^5 p_3}{(2\pi)^5} \frac{i(p^b)^4 p_3^b p_3^y}{2\left(i\omega^2 - E_{-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E_{p+p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E_{k+p+p_3}^2\right)},$$
$$= \int \frac{d^5 p_3}{(2\pi)^5} \frac{-i(p^b)^3 p_3^b p_3^y}{2k^y} \mathcal{M}. \tag{B.14}$$

where we have performed the Matsubara sum using

$$\mathcal{M} \equiv \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_m \frac{1}{\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{p+p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{k+p+p_3}^2\right)}, \tag{B.15}$$
$$= \frac{-b(E_{p3+p+k})}{E_{p3+p+k}(E_{p_3+p+k}^2 - E_{p_3}^2)(E_{p_3+p+k}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)} + \frac{-b(E_{p_3+p})}{E_{p_3+p}(E_{p_3+p}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)(E_{p_3+p}^2 - E_{p_3}^2)}$$
$$+ \frac{-b(E_{p_3})}{E_{p_3}(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)}.$$

We apply the shift of variables $p_3 \to -p_3 - p$, $p_3 \to -p_3 - p - k$, in I_{M_1} to obtain,

$$I_{M_1} = \frac{-1}{p^b k^y} \int \frac{d^5 p_3}{(2\pi)^5} \frac{-i(p^b)^4 p_3^b p_3^y b(E_{p_3})}{2E_{p_3}} \left(\frac{1}{(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)} \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{1}{(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3-k}^2)} + \frac{1}{(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+k}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)} \right)$$
$$- \int \frac{d^5 p_3}{(2\pi)^5} \left(\frac{-i(p^b)^4 p_3^y b(E_{p_3})}{2k^y E_{p_3}(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3-k}^2)} + \frac{-i(p^b)^3 (p^b p_3^y + k^y p_3^b + pk) b(E_{p_3})}{2kE_{p_3}(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+k}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)} \right). \tag{B.16}$$

Here $b(p)$ is the Bose-Einstein distribution which is given by

$$b(p) = \frac{1}{e^{p\beta} - 1}. \tag{B.17}$$

Subsequently we will require the following moment of the Bose-Einstein distribution at several instances

$$\int_0^\infty dp \frac{p^3}{e^{\beta p} - 1} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^4 \beta^4} \int_0^\infty dz z^3 e^{-z},$$
$$= \frac{T^4 \pi^4}{15}. \tag{B.18}$$

Let us now take the limit $p^b \to 0, k^y \to 0$ systematically in (B.16). We have verified in all situations the results are independent of the order of limits. For the case of I_{M_1} we will demonstrate this explicitly. First we take $k \to 0$. We obtain the expansion

$$\lim_{k\to 0} I_{M_1} = \frac{f_1(p)}{k} + f_2(p) + f_3(p)k + O(k^2) \cdots \tag{B.19}$$

We denote p^b by p and k^y by k for convenience. $f_1(p)$ and $f_3(p)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1(p) &= \int \frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5} ib(E_{p_3}) \left(\frac{-E_{p_3}p^2\cos\phi_1}{4\left(E_{p_3}^2\sin\phi_1\cos\phi_2(p+2E_{p_3}\cos\phi_1)\right)} \right. \\
&\left. + \frac{p^3\cos\phi_1 + 2p^2E_{p_3}\cos\phi_1^2 - 2p^2E_{p_3}\sin\phi_1\cos\phi_2^2}{2E_{p_3}\sin\phi_1\cos\phi_2(p+2E_{p_3}\cos\phi_1)^2} \right), \\
f_2(p) &= \int \frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5} \frac{ib(E_{p_3})}{4E_{p_3}^3\sin\phi_1\cos\phi_2^2(p+2E_{p_3}\cos\phi_1)^3} \\
&\times \left[-p^5 - 5p^4E_{p_3}\cos\phi_1 - 8p^3E_{p_3}^2\cos\phi_1^2 \right. \\
&-4p^3E_{p_3}^2\sin\phi_1\cos\phi_2^2 - 4p^2E_{p_3}^3\cos\phi_1^3 - 12p^2E_{p_3}^3\cos\phi_1\sin\phi_1\cos\phi_2^2 \\
&\left. -8pE_{p_3}^4\cos\phi_1^2\sin\phi_1\cos\phi_2^2 + 8pE_{p_3}^4\sin\phi_1\cos\phi_2^4 \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{B.20}$$

Recall that the integration measure is given by

$$d^5p_3 = |p_3|^4\sin\phi_1^3\sin\phi_2^2\sin\phi_3 dp_3. \tag{B.21}$$

Note that $f_1(p)$ are odd in $\cos\phi_2$ where the limits on ϕ_2 run from $0 \to \pi$. The angular integral over ϕ_2 in $f_1(p)$ is of two types. The first one is given by

$$I^{(1)}_{f_1(p)} = \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin\phi_2^2}{\cos\phi_2} = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\sqrt{1-z^2}}{z} = 0, \tag{B.22}$$

where we have used the $i\epsilon$ prescription developed in [16] for evaluating the integral. The second one is given by

$$I^{(2)}_{f_1(p)} = \int_0^\pi \sin\phi_2^2\cos\phi_2 = 0. \tag{B.23}$$

The singular term in k given by $f_1(p)$ vanished on performing the angular integration. Lets examine $f_2(p)$, here we can take the limit $p \to 0$ and see that it vanishes.

Let us now examine the limit when we first take $p \to 0$ and then $k \to 0$. We obtain an expression of the form

$$\lim_{p\to 0} I_{M_1} = g_1(k)p^2 + g_2(k)p^4 + \cdots \tag{B.24}$$

The series has no singular or constant terms in p. Thus in this sequence of limits we see I_{M_1} tends to zero on taking the first limit. As expected the final result that I_{M_1} vanishes is independent of the order of limits. We have verified that this property is true for all the terms in the correlators Similar analysis shows that

$$\lim_{p\to 0,k\to 0} I_{M_2} = \lim_{p\to 0,k\to 0} I_{M_3} = 0. \tag{B.25}$$

Vanishing of M_4

We show that the integral M_{M_4} also vanishes on take the external momenta to zero. Here the mechanism by which it vanishes is different from that of I_{M_1} so we discuss it in detail.

$$I_{M_4} = \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\sum_{\omega_m}\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{(i(p_3^a)^2p_3^y + 3i(p_3^b)^2p_3^y + i(p_3^x)^2p_3^y + i(p_3^y)^3 + ip_3^y(p_3^z)^2)}{2(i\omega^2 - E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2 - E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2 - E^2_{k+p+p_3})}(p^b)^3. \tag{B.26}$$

Lets examine the integral term by term. Consider the second term

$$\begin{aligned} I_{M_4,2} &= -\frac{(p^b)^2}{k^y}\sum_{\omega_m}\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{3i(p_3^b)^2p_3^y}{2(i\omega^2 - E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2 - E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2 - E^2_{k+p+p_3})}, \\ &= -\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{3ip_3^{b^2}p_3^y(p^b)^2}{k^y}\mathcal{M}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.27}$$

We shift the variables to get,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{M_{4,2}} = &\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{3i(p_3^b)^2p_3^y b(p_3)(p^b)^2}{E_{p_3}k}\Big(\frac{-1}{(E^2_{p_3} - E^2_{p_3+p})(E^2_{p_3} - E^2_{p_3-k})} \\ &+\frac{-1}{(E^2_{p_3} - E^2_{p_3+k})(E^2_{p_3} - E^2_{p_3+p+k})} + \frac{1}{(E^2_{p_3} - E^2_{p_3+p})(E^2_{p_3} - E^2_{p_3+p+k})}\Big) \\ &-\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{-ib(p_3)p^2}{E_{p_3}k}\frac{(-6p_3^bp_3^yp - 3p^2p_3^y)}{(E^2_{p_3} - E^2_{p_3+p})(E^2_{p_3} - E^2_{p_3-k})} \\ &-\frac{-ib(p_3)(p^b)^2}{E_{p_3}k}\frac{(-3k^y(p_3)^{b^2} - 6p_3^bp_3^yp^b - 3(p^b)^2p_3^y - 6p_3^bp^bk^y + 3k^y(p^b)2)}{(E^2_{p_3} - E^2_{p_3+k})(E^2_{p_3} - E^2_{p_3+p+k})}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.28}$$

We now take $k \to 0$ first and then $p \to 0$. There are no singular terms, but there is a finite term which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{p^b,k^y\to 0} I_{M_{4,2}} &= \int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{3ib(E_{p_3})\sin^2\phi_1\cos^2\phi_2}{4E_{p_3}\cos^2\phi_1} + \frac{3ib(E_{p_3})}{4E_{p_3}}, \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{B.29}$$

Thus the putative finite term vanishes on performing the angular integral. Similarly lets examine the other terms in I_{M_4}.

$$\begin{aligned} I_{M_{4,1}} &= \frac{-1}{p^bk^y}\sum_{\omega_m}\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{(i(p_3^a)^2p_3^y)}{2(i\omega^2 - E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2 - E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2 - E^2_{k+p+p_3})}(p^b)^3, \\ \lim_{p^b,k^y\to 0} I_{M_{4,1}} &= -\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{-3ip_3^{a2}\sin^2\phi_1\cos^2\phi_2}{4E_{p_3}\cos^4\phi_1} + \frac{-3ip_3^{a2}}{4E_{p_3}\cos^2\phi_1}, \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{B.30}$$

Again we have

$$I_{M_{4,4}} = \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\sum_{\omega_m}\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{(i(p_3^y)^3)}{2(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{k+p+p_3})}(p^b)^3,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{p^b,k^y\to 0} I_{M_{4,4}} &= -\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{-3ib(E_{p_3})\sin^2\phi_1\cos^2\phi_2}{4E_{p_3}\cos^2\phi_1}+\frac{-3ib(E_{p_3})\sin^4\phi_1\cos^4\phi_2}{4E_{p_3}\cos^4\phi_1},\\ &= 0.\end{aligned} \tag{B.31}$$

Therefore we conclude that

$$I_{M_4} = 0. \tag{B.32}$$

Vanishing of M_6

Writing out M_6 we have

$$\begin{aligned}M_6 = \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\sum_{\omega_m}\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5} &-\frac{i((p_3^b)^2p_3^y-(p_3^\tau)^2p_3^y+p_3^y(p_3^z)^2)}{2(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{k+p+p_3})}(k^y)^2p^b\\ &-\frac{i((p_3^a)^2p_3^y+2(p_3^b)^2p_3^y+(p_3^x)^2p_3^y+(p_3^y)^3+2p_3^y(p_3^z)^2)}{2\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{k-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3}\right)}(k^y)^2p^b.\end{aligned} \tag{B.33}$$

Lets examine the first term

$$\begin{aligned}I_{M_{6,1}} &= \frac{\sum_{\omega_m}}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{i(k^y(p_3^b)^2p_3^y)}{2(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{k+p+p_3})},\\ &= \int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{-ik^y(p_3^b)^2p_3^yb(E_{p_3})}{2E_{p_3}}\left(\frac{1}{(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+p+k})(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+p})}\right.\\ &\quad\left.-\frac{1}{(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+p})(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3-k})}-\frac{1}{(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+k})(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+p+k})}\right)\\ &\quad-\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{ik^yb(E_{p_3})}{2E_{p_3}}\left(\frac{(-2p_3^bp_3^yp-p^2p_3^y)}{(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+p})(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3-k})}\right.\\ &\quad\left.+\frac{(-2p_3^bp_3^yp-p^2p_3^y-kp_3^{b^2}-2pkp_3^b-kp^2)}{(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+k})(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+p+k})}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{B.34}$$

$$\lim_{k^y\to 0} I_{M_{6,1}} = g_1(p)k + g_2(p)O(k^2)\cdots \tag{B.35}$$

Hence in the sequence of limits where we take $k\to 0$ first, there are no finite terms. This occurs for rest of the terms in I_{M_6}. In order to establish that this result is

independent of the order of limits, we look at the other sequence of limits ($p \to 0$ first).

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k^y,p^b\to 0} I_{M_{6,1}} &= -\int \frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{ib(E_{p_3})}{2}\left(\frac{1}{4E_{p_3}}+\frac{\cos^2\phi_1}{4E_{p_3}\sin^2\phi_1\cos^2\phi_2}\right),\\ &= 0.\end{aligned} \tag{B.36}$$

similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}I_{M_{6,2}} &= \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\frac{\sum_{\omega_m}}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{i((p_3^\tau)^2p_3^y)}{2(i\omega^2-E_{-p_3}^2)(i\omega^2-E_{p+p_3}^2)(i\omega^2-E_{k+p+p_3}^2)}k^{y2}p^b,\\ \lim_{k^y,p^b\to 0} I_{M_{6,2}} &= \int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{ib(E_{p_3})}{2}\left(\frac{1}{4E_{p_3}\cos^2\phi_1}-\frac{1}{4E_{p_3}\sin^2\phi_1\cos^2\phi_2}\right),\\ &= 0,\\ &= -\lim_{k^y,p^b\to 0} I_{M_{6,3}}.\end{aligned} \tag{B.37}$$

The last term in I_{M_6} is given by

$$\begin{aligned}I_{M_{6,4}} &= \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\frac{\sum_{\omega_m}}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{i((p_3^a)^2p_3^y)}{2\left(i\omega^2-E_{k-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2-E_{-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2-E_{p+p_3}^2\right)}(k^y)^2p^b,\\ \lim_{k^y,p^b\to 0} I_{M_{6,4}} &= -\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\left(\frac{p_3^{a2}}{4p_3^3\cos^2\phi_1}-\frac{p_3^{a2}}{4p_3^3\sin^2\phi_1\cos^2\phi_2}\right),\\ &= 0.\end{aligned} \tag{B.38}$$

Therefore we conclude that

$$I_{M_6} = 0. \tag{B.39}$$

I_{M_5} exhibits and similar mechanism for cancellation, performing the same analysis shows

$$I_{M_5} = 0. \tag{B.40}$$

Vanishing of M_7

Again we analyse I_{M_7} term by term to show that it vanishes on taking the external momenta to zero.

$$\begin{aligned}I_{M_7} = &\frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\sum_{\omega_m}\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}[3i(p_3^a)^2p_3^bp_3^y+3i(p_3^b)^3p_3^y+ip_3^b(p_3^\tau)^2p_3^y+3ip_3^b(p_3^x)^2p_3^y+3ip_3^b(p_3^y)^3\\ &+3ip_3^bp_3^y(p_3^z)^2](p^b)^2\times\frac{1}{2(i\omega^2-E_{-p_3}^2)(i\omega^2-E_{p+p_3}^2)(i\omega^2-E_{k+p+p_3}^2)}\\ &+\frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\sum_{\omega_m}\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{ip_3^bp_3^y((p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2+(p_3^\tau)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2)}{2\left(i\omega^2-E_{k-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2-E_{-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2-E_{p+p_3}^2\right)}(p^b)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{B.41}$$

Lets consider the first term

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{M_{7,1}} &= \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\sum_{\omega_m}\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{(3i(p_3^a)^2p_3^bp_3^y)(p^b)^2}{2(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{k+p+p_3})},\\
&= \int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{ip_3^{a2}p_3^bp_3^yp^b(p_3)}{2kE_{p_3}}\left(\frac{1}{(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+p+k})(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+p})}\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\frac{1}{(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+p})(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3-k})}+\frac{1}{(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+k})(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+p+k})}\right)\\
&\quad+\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{ip^2p_3^{a2}p_3^yb(p_3)}{2kE_{p_3}}\frac{1}{(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+p})(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3-k})}\\
&\quad+\frac{ip_3^{a2}b(p_3)}{2E_{p_3}}\frac{(\frac{(p^b)^2p_3^y}{k^y}+p^bp_3^b+(p^b)^2)}{(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+k})(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+p+k})},\\
\lim_{p^b,k^y\to0}I_{M_{7,1}} &= \int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{ip_3^{a2}b(p_3)}{2}(-\frac{1}{8E^3_{p_3}\sin^2\phi_1\cos^2\phi_2}-\frac{3\sin^2\phi_1\cos^2\phi_2}{8E^3_{p_3}\cos^4\phi_1}),\\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.42}
$$

As a check we also evaluate the alternative sequence of limits, $k\to0, p\to0$. We find that,

$$
\lim_{k^y,p^b\to0}I_{M_{7,1}} = \frac{g_3(p)}{k}+O(p)+O(k)\cdots \tag{B.43}
$$

Where the angular integral in $g_3(p)$ vanishes in a similar mechanism to B.20. Thus this term vanishes irrespective of the order of limits.

Similarly we have analysed all terms in I_{M_7} to conclude

$$
I_{M_7} = 0. \tag{B.44}
$$

Evaluating the non-vanishing contributions

We now evaluate the finite contribution to the transport coefficient which are given by M_8^A, M_8^B, M_{10} and M_{11}.

Evaluation of M_8^A and M_8^B

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^A_{M_8} &= \frac{i}{p^bk^y}\sum_{\omega_m}\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}[(p_3^a)^4+3(p_3^b)^4-(3(p_3^\tau)^2-(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2-(p_3^z)^2)((p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2\\
&\quad+(p_3^z)^2)+(p_3^b)^2(-(p_3^\tau)^2+4(p_3^x)^2+2(p_3^y)^2+4(p_3^z)^2)+(p_3^a)^2(4(p_3^b)^2-3(p_3^\tau)^2\\
&\quad+2((p_3^x)^2+(p_3^z)^2))]k^yp^b\times\frac{1}{2(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{k+p+p_3})}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.45}
$$

We now analyse the integral term by term. We label the terms by the monomial of the internal momentum in the numerator

$$\begin{aligned}
I^A_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{\tau\,2}} &= \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\frac{\sum_{\omega_m}}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{ip_3^{a\,2}p_3^{\tau\,2}p^b k^y}{2(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{k+p+p_3})},\\
&= \frac{i}{2}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}p_3^{a\,2}\frac{(i\omega)^2}{(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{k+p+p_3})},\\
&= \frac{-i}{2}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}p_3^{a\,2}\Big(\frac{E_{p_3}b(E_{p_3})}{(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+p+k})(E^2_{p_3}-E^2_{p_3+p})}\\
&\qquad +\frac{E_{p_3+p}b(E_{p_3+p})}{(E^2_{p_3+p}-E^2_{p_3+p+k})(E^2_{p_3+p}-E^2_{p_3})}+\frac{E_{p_3+p+k}b(E_{p_3+p+k})}{(E^2_{p_3+p+k}-E^2_{p_3})(E^2_{p_3+p+k}-E^2_{p_3+p})}\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{B.46}$$

We perform the usual shift of variables to get,

$$\lim_{p^b,k^y\to 0} I^A_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{\tau\,2}} = \frac{-i}{2}\int\frac{dp_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{p_3^3 b(p_3)\sin^5\phi_1\sin^4\phi_2\sin^3\phi_3\sin^2\phi_4}{8\cos^2\phi_1\sin^2\phi_1\cos^2\phi_2}.\tag{B.47}$$

After a change of variables $z=\cos\theta$, the required integrals are of the form

$$J_1=\int_0^\pi\frac{\sin^3\theta d\theta}{\cos^2\theta}=\int_{-1}^1\frac{(1-z^2)dz}{z^2},\qquad J_2=\int_0^\pi\frac{\sin^4\theta d\theta}{\cos^2\theta}=\int_{-1}^1\frac{(1-z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}dz}{z^2}.\tag{B.48}$$

The integrals are all on the real line, we make these integrals well defined by slightly deforming the contour to avoid the singularity at $z=0$. This prescription was developed and tested in [16]. Then we obtain

$$J_1=-4,\qquad J_2=-\frac{3\pi}{2}.\tag{B.49}$$

and using these results for the integrals we get

$$\lim_{p\to 0,k\to 0} I^A_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{\tau\,2}} = \frac{-i\pi T^4}{2\times 15\times 32}.\tag{B.50}$$

Proceeding similarly we arrive at the following relations

$$I^A_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{\tau\,2}} = I^A_{p_3^{x\,2}p_3^{\tau\,2}} = I^A_{p_3^{z\,2}p_3^{\tau\,2}}.\tag{B.51}$$

Now consider $I^A_{-p_3^{x\,2}p_3^{a\,2}}$ and the rest of the terms

$$I^A_{-p_3^{x\,2}p_3^{a\,2}} = \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\sum_{\omega_m}\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{-ip_3^{a\,2}p_3^{\tau\,2}p^b k^y}{2(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{k+p+p_3})}.\tag{B.52}$$

$$\lim_{p^b,k^y 0} I^A_{-p_3^{x\,2}p_3^{a\,2}} = \frac{-i}{2}\int \frac{dp_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{p_3^3 b(p_3)\sin^5\phi_1\sin^6\phi_2\sin^3\phi_3\cos^2\phi_3\sin^2\phi_4}{8\cos^2\phi_1\cos^2\phi_2},$$
$$= \frac{-i\pi T^4}{2\times 3\times 15\times 32}. \tag{B.53}$$

where we have used,

$$J_3 = \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^5\theta d\theta}{\cos^2\theta} = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(1-z^2)^2 dz}{z^2} = \frac{-16}{3}, \tag{B.54}$$
$$J_4 = \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^6\theta d\theta}{\cos^2\theta} = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(1-z^2)^{\frac{5}{2}} dz}{z^2} = \frac{-15}{8}.$$

Similarly in the zero external momenta limit we get

$$I^A_{-p_3^{x\,2}p_3^{a\,2}} = I^A_{-p_3^{x\,2}p_3^{z\,2}} = I^A_{-p_3^{z\,2}p_3^{a\,2}} = I^A_{-p_3^{x\,2}p_3^{y\,2}} = I^A_{-p_3^{x\,2}p_3^{b\,2}},$$
$$= I^A_{-p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{y\,2}} = I^A_{-p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,2}} = I^A_{-p_3^{b\,2}p_3^{y\,2}} = I^A_{-p_3^{z\,2}p_3^{y\,2}} = I^A_{-p_3^{z\,2}p_3^{b\,2}}. \tag{B.55}$$

We then evaluate terms corresponding to M_8^B. We obtain the following results in the zero external momenta limit

$$I^A_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{\tau\,2}} = I^B_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{\tau\,2}} = I^B_{p_3^{x\,2}p_3^{\tau\,2}} = I^B_{p_3^{z\,2}p_3^{\tau\,2}} = \frac{-i\pi T^4}{2\times 15\times 32}. \tag{B.56}$$

$$I^A_{-p_3^{x\,2}p_3^{a\,2}} = I^B_{-p_3^{x\,2}p_3^{a\,2}} = I^B_{-p_3^{x\,2}p_3^{z\,2}} = I^B_{-p_3^{z\,2}p_3^{a\,2}} = I^B_{-p_3^{x\,2}p_3^{y\,2}} = I^B_{-p_3^{x\,2}p_3^{b\,2}},$$
$$= I^B_{-p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{y\,2}} = I^B_{-p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,2}} = I^B_{-p_3^{b\,2}p_3^{y\,2}} = I^B_{-p_3^{z\,2}p_3^{y\,2}} = I^B_{-p_3^{z\,2}p_3^{b\,2}} = \frac{-i\pi T^4}{2\times 3\times 15\times 32}. \tag{B.57}$$

Substituting these values into the terms of I_9^A and $M_{M_9}^B$, we obtain

$$\lim_{p^b,k^y\to 0}(I^A_{M_9} + I^B_{M_9}) = \frac{-18i\pi T^4}{15\times 32} + \frac{-48i\pi T^4}{6\times 15\times 32}. \tag{B.58}$$

Evaluation of M_{10} and M_{11}

Lets first write down the integrals involving M_{10} and M_{11}

$$I_{M_{10}} = \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\sum_{\omega_m}\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\Big\{(-ip_3^b)[(p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2-(p_3^\tau)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2]$$
$$\times[(p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2+(p_3^\tau)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2]\frac{k^y}{2(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{k+p+p_3})}$$

$$-ip_3^b[(p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2-(p_3^\tau)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2][(p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2+(p_3^\tau)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2]$$
$$\times\frac{k^y}{2\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{k-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3}\right)}\Big\}. \tag{B.59}$$

$$I_{M_{11}} = \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\sum_{\omega_m}\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\left\{ip_3^y[(p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2]\right.$$
$$\times[(p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2+(p_3^\tau)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2]\frac{p^b}{2(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{k+p+p_3})}$$
$$\left.+\frac{ip_3^y p^b[(p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2][(p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2+(p_3^\tau)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^z)^2]}{2\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{k-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3}\right)}\right\}. \tag{B.60}$$

We evaluate these contributions in a similar manner as before, summing over Matsubara frequencies and shifting momenta as required. We take the zero momentum limit to get the finite contribution. Lets detail some of the steps by considering generic terms. Consider the integral

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{I}_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,2}} &= \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\sum_{\omega_m}\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,2}(-p_3^b k^y+p_3^y p^b)\\ &\quad\times\left(\frac{1}{2(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{k+p+p_3})}\right.\\ &\quad\left.+\frac{1}{2\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{k-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3}\right)}\right),\\ &= \hat{I}'^C_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,2}}+\hat{I}'^D_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{B.61}$$

Note we have labelled the integral by the common directions of the internal momenta $(p_3^a p_3^b)^2$, of the integrand. We have suppressed by the dependence $(-p_3^b k^y + p_3^y p^b)$. This will be understood in the remaining integrals of this section which have the superscript $\hat{}$. We now define

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{I}'^C_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,2}} &= \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\sum_{\omega_m}\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}(p_3^a)^2(p_3^b)^2(-p_3^b k^y)\\ &\quad\times\left(\frac{1}{2(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{k+p+p_3})}\right.\\ &\quad\left.+\frac{1}{2\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{k-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3}\right)}\right),\\ &= \int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{ip_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,3}}{2p^b}(\mathcal{M}+\mathcal{M}'),\\ \hat{I}'^D_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,2}} &= \int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{-ip_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,2}p_3^y}{2k^y}(\mathcal{M}+\mathcal{M}').\end{aligned} \tag{B.62}$$

We have used the following formulae to perform the Matsubara sums,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M} &= \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_m \frac{1}{\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{p+p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{k+p+p_3}^2\right)},\\ &= \frac{-b(E_{p3+p+k})}{E_{p3+p+k}(E_{p_3+p+k}^2 - E_{p_3}^2)(E_{p_3+p+k}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)} + \frac{-b(E_{p_3+p})}{E_{p_3+p}(E_{p_3+p}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)(E_{p_3+p}^2 - E_{p_3}^2)}\\ &\quad + \frac{-b(E_{p_3})}{E_{p_3}(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.63}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}' &= \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_m \frac{1}{\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{k-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{p+p_3}^2\right)},\\ &= \frac{-b(E_{p3})}{E_{p3}(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3-k}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)} + \frac{-b(E_{p_3+p})}{E_{p_3+p}(E_{p_3+p}^2 - E_{p_3-k}^2)(E_{p_3+p}^2 - E_{p_3}^2)}\\ &\quad + \frac{-b(E_{p_3-k})}{E_{p_3-k}(E_{p_3-k}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)(E_{p_3-k}^2 - E_{p_3}^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.64}$$

We shift the variables such that numerator in the each of the terms in the Matsubara sums is a function of E_{p_3}. For example we shift the variables $p_3 \to -p_3 - p - k$ in the first term of $\mathcal{M}$ and so on. Applying such shifts we are left with,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{I}'^C_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,2}} &= \int \frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{-ip_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,3}b(p_3)}{2p^bE_{p_3}}\left(\frac{1}{(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3-p-k}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3-k}^2)}\right.\\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3-k}^2)}\right)\\ &\quad + \int \frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{p_3^{a\,2}}{pE_{p_3}}(3p_3^{b\,2}p + 3p_3^bp^2 + p^3)\left(\frac{1}{(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)}\right.\\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3-k}^2)} + \frac{1}{(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+k}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)}\right),\\ \lim_{p^b,k^y\to 0}\hat{I}'^C_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,2}} &= \int \frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{-3ip_3^{a\,2}b(p_3)}{8p_3^3\sin^2\phi_1\cos^2\phi_2},\\ &= \frac{i\pi T^4}{2\times 15\times 32}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.65}$$

Following similar steps as done for the above integral we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{p^b,k^y\to 0}\hat{I}'^D_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,2}} &= -\int \frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{ip_3^{a\,2}b(p_3)}{2}\left(\frac{1}{4p_3^3\cos^2\phi_1} - \frac{1}{4p_3^3\sin^2\phi_1\cos^2\phi_2}\right),\\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{B.66}$$

From (B.61), (B.65) and (B.66) we have,

$$\hat{I}_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,2}} = \frac{i\pi T^4}{2\times 15\times 32}. \tag{B.67}$$

Using similar manipulations, it can be shown that

$$\hat{I}_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,2}} = \hat{I}_{p_3^{x\,2}p_3^{b\,2}} = \hat{I}_{p_3^{y\,2}p_3^{b\,2}} = \hat{I}_{p_3^{z\,2}p_3^{b\,2}}. \tag{B.68}$$

$$\hat{I}_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{x\,2}} = \frac{i\pi T^4}{2\times 3\times 15\times 32}. \tag{B.69}$$

$$\hat{I}_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{y\,2}} = \hat{I}_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{z\,2}} = \hat{I}_{p_3^{y\,2}p_3^{x\,2}} = \hat{I}_{p_3^{y\,2}p_3^{z\,2}} = \hat{I}_{p_3^{x\,2}p_3^{z\,2}} = \hat{I}_{p_3^{a\,2}p_3^{b\,2}}. \tag{B.70}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I'_{p_3^{\tau\,4}} &= \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\sum_{\omega_m}\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}((p_3^\tau)^4 p_3^b k^y + (p_3^\tau)^2((p_3^a)^2+(p_3^b)^2+(p_3^y)^2+(p_3^x)^2+(p_3^z)^2)p_3^y p^b)\\
&\quad\times\left(\frac{1}{2(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{k+p+p_3})}\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\frac{1}{2\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{k-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3}\right)}\right),\\
&= I'_{p_3^{\tau\,4}C} + I'_{p_3^{\tau\,2}D}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.71}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
I'_{p_3^{\tau\,4}C} &= \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\sum_{\omega_m}\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}(p_3^b(p_3)^{\tau\,4}k^y)\\
&\quad\times\left(\frac{1}{2(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{k+p+p_3})}\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\frac{1}{2\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{k-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3}\right)}\right),\\
&= \int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{ip_3^b}{2p^b}(\mathcal{M}_1+\mathcal{M}_2)\\
I'_{p_3^{\tau\,2}D} &= \int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{-ip_3^y(p_3^\tau)^2(p_3^{a\,2}+p_3^{b\,2}+p_3^{y\,2}+p_3^{x\,2}+p_3^{z\,2})}{2k^y}\\
&\quad\times\left(\frac{1}{2(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3})(i\omega^2-E^2_{k+p+p_3})}\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\frac{1}{2\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{k-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{-p_3}\right)\left(i\omega^2-E^2_{p+p_3}\right)}\right),\\
&= \int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{ip_3^y(p_3^{a\,2}+p_3^{b\,2}+p_3^{y\,2}+p_3^{x\,2}+p_3^{z\,2})}{4k^y}(\mathcal{M}_3+\mathcal{M}_4).
\end{aligned} \tag{B.72}$$

The Matsubara sums can be performed by the following formulae

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_1 &= \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_m \frac{(i\omega)^4}{\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{p+p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{k+p+p_3}^2\right)},\\ &= \frac{-E_{p3+p+k}^3 b(E_{p3+p+k})}{(E_{p_3+p+k}^2 - E_{p_3}^2)(E_{p_3+p+k}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)} + \frac{-E_{p_3+p}^3 b(E_{p_3+p})}{(E_{p_3+p}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)(E_{p_3+p}^2 - E_{p_3}^2)}\\ &\quad + \frac{-E_{p_3}^3 b(E_{p_3})}{(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.73}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_2 &= \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_m \frac{(i\omega)^4}{\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{k-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{p+p_3}^2\right)},\\ &= \frac{-E_{p3}^3 b(E_{p3})}{(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3-k}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)} + \frac{-E_{p_3+p}^3 b(E_{p_3+p})}{(E_{p_3+p}^2 - E_{p_3-k}^2)(E_{p_3+p}^2 - E_{p_3}^2)}\\ &\quad + \frac{-E_{p_3-k}^3 b(E_{p_3-k})}{(E_{p_3-k}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)(E_{p_3-k}^2 - E_{p_3}^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.74}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_3 &= \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_m \frac{(i\omega)^2}{\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{p+p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{k+p+p_3}^2\right)},\\ &= \frac{-E_{p3+p+k} b(E_{p3+p+k})}{(E_{p_3+p+k}^2 - E_{p_3}^2)(E_{p_3+p+k}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)} + \frac{-E_{p_3+p} b(E_{p_3+p})}{(E_{p_3+p}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)(E_{p_3+p}^2 - E_{p_3}^2)}\\ &\quad + \frac{-E_{p_3} b(E_{p_3})}{(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.75}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_4 &= \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_m \frac{(i\omega)^2}{\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{k-p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega_m^2 - E_{p+p_3}^2\right)},\\ &= \frac{-E_{p3} b(E_{p3})}{(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3-k}^2)(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)} + \frac{-E_{p_3+p} b(E_{p_3+p})}{(E_{p_3+p}^2 - E_{p_3-k}^2)(E_{p_3+p}^2 - E_{p_3}^2)}\\ &\quad + \frac{-E_{p_3-k} b(E_{p_3-k})}{(E_{p_3-k}^2 - E_{p_3+p}^2)(E_{p_3-k}^2 - E_{p_3}^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.76}$$

Performing the usual manipulations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{p^b,k^y\to 0}(I'_{p_3^{\tau 4}C} + I'_{p_3^{\tau 2}D}) &= \int \frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{-i}{2}\frac{E_{p_3}^3 b(E_{p_3})}{8E_{p_3}^4 \sin^2\phi_1 \cos^2\phi_2 \sin^2\phi_1},\\ &= \frac{i\pi T^4}{2\times 32\times 15}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.77}$$

Similarly we have,

$$\hat{I}_{p_3^{a4}} = \hat{I}_{p_3^{y4}} = \hat{I}_{p_3^{z4}} = \frac{i\pi T^4}{2\times 32\times 15}. \tag{B.78}$$

$$\hat{I}_{p_3^{b\,4}} = \frac{5i\pi T^4}{2\times 32\times 15}. \tag{B.79}$$

Summing up all contributions of M_{10} and M_{11}) we obtain

$$\lim_{p^b,k^y\to 0}(I_{M_{10}}+I_{M_{11}}) = \frac{10i\pi T^4}{15\times 32}. \tag{B.80}$$

Finally let put all the finite contributions together, from (B.58) and (B.80),

$$\lim_{p^b,k^y\to 0}(I_{M_{10}}+I_{M_{11}}+I_{M_{8A}}+I_{M_{8B}}) = \frac{-16i\pi T^4}{15\times 32}. \tag{B.81}$$

From (5.4) and (5.6) we have

$$\lambda_3^{(6)} = \frac{3}{64}\frac{16\pi T^4}{15}. \tag{B.82}$$

Vanishing of contact terms

We show that the contribution of contact terms in the (5.9) to the transport coefficient vanish. We have 4 sets of contact terms

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \left\langle T^{\tau a}(p+k)\frac{\delta T^{\tau x}(-k)}{\sqrt{g}\delta h_{\tau z}(p)}\right\rangle_E, \qquad C_2 = \left\langle \frac{\delta T^{\tau a}(p+k)}{\sqrt{g}\delta h_{\tau z}(p)} T^{\tau x}(-k)\right\rangle_E \\ C_3 &= \left\langle \frac{\delta T^{\tau a}(p+k)}{\sqrt{g}\delta h_{\tau x}(k)} T^{\tau z}(-p)\right\rangle_E, \qquad C_4 = \left\langle \frac{\delta^2 T^{\tau a}(p+k)}{\sqrt{g}\delta h_{\tau z}(p)\sqrt{g}\delta h_{\tau x}(p)}\right\rangle_E. \end{aligned} \tag{B.83}$$

We show that the contact terms do not contribute to the three point function. We begin our analysis with the term C_1. It is sufficient to impose the self dual condition on just one of the vertices in the diagram. To obtain the relevant contact terms we expand the stress tensors to first order in metric perturbations. We evaluate contact term C_1 first. We impose the self dual condition in the stress tensor $T^{\tau a}$ and expand $T^{\tau x}$ to first order in metric perturbations.

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\tau a}(p+k) = \sum_{\omega_m}\frac{-1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_1}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{1}{2}&\left(F^{\tau bx}+iF^{ayz}\right)(-p_1+p+k)\left(F^{abx}-iF^{\tau yz}\right)(p_1) \\ +\frac{1}{2}&\left(F^{\tau by}-iF^{axz}\right)(-p_1+p+k)\left(F^{aby}+iF^{\tau xz}\right)(p_1) \\ +\frac{1}{2}&\left(F^{\tau bz}-iF^{ayx}\right)(-p_1+p+k)\left(F^{abz}+iF^{\tau yx}\right)(p_1). \end{aligned} \tag{B.84}$$

To extract the derivative of $T^{\tau x}$ with respect to the metric perturbation, let us write it as

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\tau x} &= -\frac{1}{2}F^{\tau ab}F^x_{ab}, \\ &= \frac{-1}{2}g^{\tau\alpha_1}g^{a\alpha_2}g^{b\alpha_3}g^{x\alpha_4}F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}F_{\alpha_4 ab}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.85}$$

where we expand $g^{\mu\nu}$ upto 2nd order in metric perturbations using the expansion

$$g_{\mu\nu} = -\delta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}. \tag{B.86}$$

The metric perturbations we turn on are $h_{\tau x}, h_{\tau z}, h_{\tau a}$ only. Fourier transforming the resulting expression and taking the derivative with the metric perturbations yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta T^{\tau x}(-k)}{\delta h_{\tau z}(p)} = -\int \frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\big[&F^{zab}(-p_3-p-k)F^{xab}(p_3) + F^{zay}(-p_3-p-k)F^{xay}(p_3)\\ &+F^{zby}(-p_3-p-k)F^{xby}(p_3)\big]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{B.87}$$

After performing the Wick contractions from (5.12) and (B.87) we get,

$$\begin{aligned}C_1 = &\left[ip_3^b k^y((p_3^a)^2 + (p_3^b)^2 - (p_3^\tau)^2 + (p_3^x)^2 + (p_3^y)^2 + (p_3^z)^2) + ip_3^b(k^y)^2 p_3^y\right]\\ &+\left[-i(p_3^a)^2p_3^y - i(p_3^b)^2p_3^y + i(p_3^\tau)^2p_3^y - i(p_3^x)^2p_3^y - ip_3^y(p_3^z)^2\right.\\ &\left.-i(p_3^y)^3 + k^y(i(p_3^b)^2 - i(p_3^y)^2)\right]p^b - i(p^b)^2p_3^bp_3^y\\ &\times\frac{-1}{\left(i\omega^2 - E_{p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E_{k+p+p_3}^2\right)}.\end{aligned} \tag{B.88}$$

Let us first analyse the terms which are linear in p^bk^y.

$$C_{1,14} + C_{1,15} = \frac{-i}{2\beta}\sum_m \int \frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{(p_3^b)^2 - (p_3^y)^2}{\left(i\omega^2 - E_{p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E_{k+p+p_3}^2\right)}. \tag{B.89}$$

Here we have labelled the terms using the order they occur in (B.88) and also divided by p^bk^y. The sum over Matsubara frequencies is done by the following formula

$$\frac{1}{\beta}\sum_m \frac{1}{\left(i\omega^2 - E_{p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2 - E_{k+p+p_3}^2\right)} = -\left(\frac{b(p_3)}{E_{p_3}(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)} + \frac{b(p_3+p+k)}{E_{p_3+p+k}(E_{p_3+p+k}^2 - E_{p_3}^2)}\right). \tag{B.90}$$

Therefore we have

$$C_{1,14} + C_{1,15} = \frac{-i}{2}\int \frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\left((p_3^b)^2 - (p_3^y)^2\right)\left(-\frac{b(p_3)}{E_{p_3}(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)} - \frac{b(p_3+p+k)}{E_{p_3+p+k}(E_{p_3+p+k}^2 - E_{p_3}^2)}\right). \tag{B.91}$$

We proceed to shift the variables so that both the numerators in the Matsubara sum are functions of the internal momentum p_3. This implies the shift of variables $p_3 \to -p_3 - p - k$ in the second term of the matsubara sum.

$$\begin{aligned}C_{1,14} + C_{1,15} = \frac{-i}{2}\int \frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\Bigg(&\frac{(-2(p_3^b)^2 - 2p_3^bp^b - (p^b)^2)b(p_3)}{E_{p_3}(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)}\\ &+\frac{(2(p_3^y)^2 + 2p_3^yk^y + (k^y)^2)b(p_3)}{E_{p_3}(E_{p_3}^2 - E_{p_3+p+k}^2)}\Bigg).\end{aligned} \tag{B.92}$$

We proceed to take the limit $p^b, k^y \to 0$. The result is independent of the order in which the limits are taken,

$$\lim_{p^b,k^y\to 0}(I_{1,14}+I_{1,15}) = \frac{i}{2}\int \frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{b(p_3)}{2p_3}\left(-1-\frac{\sin^2\phi_1\cos^2\phi_2}{\cos^2\phi_1}\right). \tag{B.93}$$

The angular integrals are performed using the $i\epsilon$ prescription, which yields

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^5\phi}{\cos^2\phi} = -\frac{16}{3}. \tag{B.94}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$C_{1,14}+C_{1,15}=0. \tag{B.95}$$

By an analysis similar to the cancellation in B.91, we can show that, in the limit $p^b, k^y \to 0$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1,7}+C_{1,16} &= \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\frac{i}{2\beta}\sum_m \int \frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{p_3^b(k^y)^2p_3^y - p_3^b(p^b)^2p_3^y}{\left(i\omega^2-E_{p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2-E_{k+p+p_3}^2\right)}, \\ \lim_{p^b,k^y\to 0}(C_{1,7}+C_{1,16}) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{B.96}$$

Let us now proceed to analyse the rest of the terms in C_1. Consider the terms

$$C_{1,1}+C_{1,8} = \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\frac{i}{2\beta}\sum_m \int \frac{d^5p_3}{2\pi^5}\frac{(k^y p_3^b(p_3^a)^2 - p^b p_3^y(p_3^a)^2)}{\left(i\omega^2-E_{p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2-E_{k+p+p_3}^2\right)}. \tag{B.97}$$

Performing the Matsubara sum we have,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1,1}+C_{1,8} = \frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\frac{i}{2}\int \frac{d^5p_3}{2\pi^5}(k^y p_3^b(p_3^a)^2 - p^b p_3^y(p_3^a)^2)\left(-\frac{b(p_3)}{E_{p_3}(E_{p_3}^2-E_{p_3+p+k}^2)}\right. \\ \left.-\frac{b(p_3+p+k)}{E_{p_3+p+k}(E_{p_3+p+k}^2-E_{p_3}^2)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{B.98}$$

Shifting the internal momentum results in

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1,1}+C_{1,8} &= \frac{-i}{2}\int \frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\left(\frac{(p_3^a)^2(-p^b)}{-p^b}-\frac{(p_3^a)^2(-k^y)}{-k^y}\right)\frac{b(p_3)}{\left(E_{p_3}^2-E_{p_3+p+k}^2\right)}, \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{B.99}$$

This pattern of cancellation occurs for the following terms in C_1

$$C_{1,3}+C_{1,10} = C_{1,4}+C_{1,11} = C_{1,6}+C_{1,12} = 0. \tag{B.100}$$

Similar analysis show that,

$$C_{1,2}+C_{1,5}+C_{1,9}+C_{1,13}=\frac{-1}{p^b k^y}\frac{i}{2\beta}\sum_m\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{k^y(p_3^b)^3+k^y(p_3^b)^2p_3^y-p^b(p_3^y)^3-p^b(p_3^y)^2p_3^b}{\left(i\omega^2-E_{p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2-E_{k+p+p_3}^2\right)},$$
$$\lim_{p^b,k^y\to 0}C_{1,2}+C_{1,5}+C_{1,9}+C_{1,13}=0. \tag{B.101}$$

Therefore from all of the above results we conclude that the contact term C_1 vanishes.

$$C_1=0. \tag{B.102}$$

We examine the term C_2. We impose the self dual condition in $T^{\tau x}$ and expand $T^{\tau a}$ to first order in metric perturbations.

$$\begin{aligned}T^{\tau x}(-k)=\frac{-1}{2}\sum_m\frac{1}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}&(F^{\tau ba}-iF^{xyz})(-p_3-k)(F^{xba}+iF^{\tau yz})\\&+(F^{\tau by}+iF^{xaz})(-p_3-k)(F^{xby}-iF^{\tau az})\\&+(F^{\tau bz}+iF^{xya})(-p_3-k)(F^{xbz}-iF^{\tau ya}).\end{aligned} \tag{B.103}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta T^{\tau a}(p+k)}{\delta h_{\tau z}(p)}=-\frac{\sum_m}{\beta}\int\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}&\left(F^{zbx}(-p_1+k)F^{abx}(p_1)+F^{zby}(-p_1+k)F^{aby}(p_1)\right.\\&\left.F^{zxy}(-p_1+k)F^{axy}(p_1)\right).\end{aligned} \tag{B.104}$$

From (B.83), we have

$$C_2=\frac{-1}{pk}\frac{-i}{\beta}\sum_m\frac{d^5p_3}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{((p_3^a)^2p_3^b-(p_3^z)^2p_3^b)k}{\left(i\omega^2-E_{p_3}^2\right)\left(i\omega^2-E_{k+p_3}^2\right)}. \tag{B.105}$$

Performing the same analysis as done for C_1 we find that,

$$C_2=0. \tag{B.106}$$

Similarly we get

$$C_3=0. \tag{B.107}$$

The cancellation of the C_4 term is more easy to see

$$C_4=\left\langle\frac{\delta^2T^{\tau a}(p+k)}{\sqrt{g}\delta h_{\tau z}(p)\sqrt{g}\delta h_{\tau x}(p)}\right\rangle_E. \tag{B.108}$$

We have to expand the stress tensor to second order in metric perturbations and extract out the $h_{\tau x}h_{\tau z}$ coefficient. The component of stress tensor of interest is given by

$$\begin{aligned}T^{\tau a} = \frac{-1}{\beta}\sum_{\omega_n}\int\frac{d^5p_1}{(2\pi)^5}(&\tilde{F}^{\tau bx}(-p_1+p+k)F^a_{bx}(p_1)+\tilde{F}^{\tau xy}(-p_1+p+k)F^a_{xy}(p_1)\\ &\tilde{F}^{\tau yz}(-p_1+p+k)F^a_{yz}(p_1)+\tilde{F}^{\tau bz}(-p_1+p+k)F^a_{bz}(p_1)+\tilde{F}^{\tau xz}(-p_1+p+k)F^a_{xz}(p_1)\\ &+\tilde{F}^{\tau by}(-p_1+p+k)F^a_{by}(p_1)).\end{aligned} \tag{B.109}$$

Here we have written down the stress tensor with the self dual projection on one of the fields strengths. Since the contractions involved are all self contractions with the stress tensor, this is sufficient [13]. Only the terms which are quadratic in $h_{\mu\nu}$, more specifically $h_{\tau x}h_{\tau z}$ contribute to the correlator.

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{F}^{\tau bx} &= \frac{1}{2}(g^{\tau\alpha_1}g^{b\alpha_2}g^{x\alpha_3}F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}-iF_{yza}),\\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-F_{\tau bx}-iF_{yza}-h_{\tau z}F_{zbx})+\qquad O(h^3)\\ F^a_{bx} &= -F_{abx}+\qquad O(h^3).\end{aligned} \tag{B.110}$$

Therefore we see that there are no terms of the kind $h_{\tau x}h_{\tau z}$ in the metric expansion of $T^{\tau a}$. Thus we obtain

$$C_4 = 0. \tag{B.111}$$

This concludes our analysis which shows that the contribution to the transport coefficient from the contact terms vanish.

C Summary of η invariants in various dimensions

We have seen that the η invariant corresponding to the T^2 transformation determines the contribution of the chiral matter to the parity odd transport coefficient. Since evaluation of the η invariant is an involved exercise it is convenient to turn the problem around and evaluate the η invariant using the data provided by the transport coefficient. In this appendix we first summarise the η invariants of fermions, gravitinos and self dual tensors in $d = 2, 6$ dimensions. We then use the knowledge of anomalous transport given in [22] to obtain the η invariants for various species in $d = 10$. Our starting point is the metric g^{2d} of the torus $\hat{T}^{2d}$.

$$ds^2_{2d} = (dt + a_1(x_1)dx^1 + a_2(x_3)dx^2\cdots a_{2d-2}(x_{2d-1})dx^{2d-2})^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2\cdots dx^2_{2d-1} \tag{C.1}$$

[13] We have also carried out the analysis with the self dual condition imposed on both the field strength that occurs in the stress tensor. The final result is $C_4 = 0$

Dimension	Species	η invariant (upto mod 2)
$d=2$	Fermions	$\frac{1}{6}$
	Gravitinos	$\frac{1}{6}$
	Chiral Bosons	$\frac{1}{6}$
$d=6$	Fermions	$-\frac{7}{60}nm$
	Gravitinos	$-\frac{35}{60}nm$
	Self Dual Tensors	$-\frac{16}{60}nm$
$d=10$	Fermions	$\frac{31}{126}mnop$
	Gravitinos	$\frac{279}{126}mnop$
	Self Dual Tensors	$\frac{256}{126}mnop$

Table 1: η invariants in various dimensions

where the coordinates are periodic with period 2π. Fermionic matter along the torus directions have anti-periodic boundary conditions. This results in a non trivial field configuration for the metric components a_i for $i = 2, 4, \cdots (2d-2)$

$$\begin{aligned} x^j &\sim x^j + 2\pi, \qquad\qquad j = 3, 5, \cdots 2d-1, \\ a_i(x^j) &= 2n\frac{x^j}{2\pi}, \qquad\qquad n \in \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

Such a winding configuration ensures that under a T^2 transformation in the (x^i, x^j) plane, as $x^j \to x^j + 2\pi$, $a_i \to a_i + 2n$. Thus the boundary conditions (A, A) remain invariant along these directions. We wish to evaluate the η invariant corresponding to the T^2 transformation under which $a_1 \to a_1 + 2$. The effective action that one gets from computing the η invariant in such a set up must reproduce the correct value for the parity odd transport coefficients in the de-compactification limit. Using the values of anomalous transport coefficients determined by the pure gravitational anomaly in arbitrary dimensions given in [22] we have calculated the η invariants of various species of matter in various dimensions. They are summarized in table 1, where $m, n, o, p \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote the non-trivial winding number of the metric components. Note that all these η invariants are determined to mod 2

References

[1] S. Bhattacharyya, S. Lahiri, R. Loganayagam, and S. Minwalla, *Large rotating AdS black holes from fluid mechanics*, *JHEP* **0809** (2008) 054, [arXiv:0708.1770].

[2] J. Erdmenger, M. Haack, M. Kaminski, and A. Yarom, *Fluid dynamics of R-charged black holes*, *JHEP* **0901** (2009) 055, [arXiv:0809.2488].

[3] N. Banerjee, J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Dutta, R. Loganayagam, *et. al.*, *Hydrodynamics from charged black branes*, *JHEP* **1101** (2011) 094, [arXiv:0809.2596].

[4] D. T. Son and P. Surowka, *Hydrodynamics with Triangle Anomalies*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **103** (2009) 191601, [arXiv:0906.5044].

[5] Y. Neiman and Y. Oz, *Relativistic Hydrodynamics with General Anomalous Charges*, *JHEP* **1103** (2011) 023, [arXiv:1011.5107].

[6] N. Banerjee, J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Jain, S. Minwalla, *et. al.*, *Constraints on Fluid Dynamics from Equilibrium Partition Functions*, *JHEP* **1209** (2012) 046, [arXiv:1203.3544].

[7] N. Banerjee, S. Dutta, S. Jain, R. Loganayagam, and T. Sharma, *Constraints on Anomalous Fluid in Arbitrary Dimensions*, *JHEP* **1303** (2013) 048, [arXiv:1206.6499].

[8] K. Jensen, *Triangle Anomalies, Thermodynamics, and Hydrodynamics*, *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 125017, [arXiv:1203.3599].

[9] K. Jensen, M. Kaminski, P. Kovtun, R. Meyer, A. Ritz, *et. al.*, *Towards hydrodynamics without an entropy current*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **109** (2012) 101601, [arXiv:1203.3556].

[10] S. Jain and T. Sharma, *Anomalous charged fluids in 1+1d from equilibrium partition function*, *JHEP* **1301** (2013) 039, [arXiv:1203.5308].

[11] M. Valle, *Hydrodynamics in 1+1 dimensions with gravitational anomalies*, *JHEP* **1208** (2012) 113, [arXiv:1206.1538].

[12] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, and F. Pena-Benitez, *Gravitational Anomaly and Transport*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **107** (2011) 021601, [arXiv:1103.5006].

[13] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, L. Melgar, and F. Pena-Benitez, *Holographic Gravitational Anomaly and Chiral Vortical Effect*, *JHEP* **1109** (2011) 121, [arXiv:1107.0368].

[14] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, and F. Pena-Benitez, *Anomalous Transport from Kubo Formulae*, *Lect.Notes Phys.* **871** (2013) 433–468, [arXiv:1207.5808].

[15] S. Golkar and D. T. Son, *(Non)-renormalization of the chiral vortical effect coefficient*, *JHEP* **1502** (2015) 169, [arXiv:1207.5806].

[16] S. D. Chowdhury and J. R. David, *Anomalous transport at weak coupling*, *JHEP* **11** (2015) 048, [arXiv:1508.0160].

[17] K. Jensen, R. Loganayagam, and A. Yarom, *Thermodynamics, gravitational anomalies and cones*, *JHEP* **1302** (2013) 088, [arXiv:1207.5824].

[18] K. Jensen, R. Loganayagam, and A. Yarom, *Chern-Simons terms from thermal circles and anomalies*, *JHEP* **1405** (2014) 110, [arXiv:1311.2935].

[19] L. Di Pietro and Z. Komargodski, *Cardy formulae for SUSY theories in $d = 4$ and $d = 6$*, *JHEP* **1412** (2014) 031, [arXiv:1407.6061].

[20] S. Golkar and S. Sethi, *Global Anomalies and Effective Field Theory*, arXiv:1512.0260.

[21] L. Alvarez-Gaume and E. Witten, *Gravitational Anomalies*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B234** (1984) 269.

[22] R. Loganayagam, *Anomaly Induced Transport in Arbitrary Dimensions*, arXiv:1106.0277.

[23] R. Loganayagam and P. Surowka, *Anomaly/Transport in an Ideal Weyl gas*, *JHEP* **1204** (2012) 097, [arXiv:1201.2812].

[24] R. Loganayagam, *Anomalies and the Helicity of the Thermal State*, *JHEP* **1311** (2013) 205, [arXiv:1211.3850].

[25] E. Witten, *Global Gravitational Anomalies*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **100** (1985) 197.

[26] T. Eguchi, P. B. Gilkey, and A. J. Hanson, *Gravitation, Gauge Theories and Differential Geometry*, *Phys. Rept.* **66** (1980) 213.

[27] J. M. Maldacena and A. Strominger, *AdS(3) black holes and a stringy exclusion principle*, *JHEP* **12** (1998) 005, [hep-th/9804085].

[28] S. Monnier, *The global gravitational anomaly of the self-dual field theory*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **325** (2014) 73–104, [arXiv:1110.4639].

[29] S. Monnier, *The global anomaly of the self-dual field in general backgrounds*, *Annales Henri Poincare* **17** (2016), no. 5 1003–1036, [arXiv:1309.6642].

[30] L. Alvarez-Gaume and P. H. Ginsparg, *The Structure of Gauge and Gravitational Anomalies*, *Annals Phys.* **161** (1985) 423. [Erratum: Annals Phys.171,233(1986)].

[31] T. Azeyanagi, R. Loganayagam, G. S. Ng, and M. J. Rodriguez, *Holographic Thermal Helicity*, *JHEP* **08** (2014) 040, [arXiv:1311.2940].

[32] S. Grozdanov and N. Poovuttikul, *Universality of anomalous conductivities in theories with higher-derivative holographic duals*, arXiv:1603.0877.